eco**BETA**®

Title:

Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by:

HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Purpose

The purpose of this document is to present an overview of the documentation available on the Internet and in general in relation to the effect of the ecoBETA® watersaving dual flush solutions. Please see attached overview of

Intended use

The ecoBETA® single button dual flush solution can be fitted in most toilet makes and models with a view to conserve water:

- a. Eliminates the risk of overflushing toilets in households, institutions, schools and workplaces, etc.
 - For use in water tanks in new toilets
 - For replacement of dual flush valves with 2 buttons: Minimizes the risk of user error, as users often activate the large flush when only the small flush is required
 - For conversion of a standard single flush toilet to a dual flush toilet
- b. Minimizes the risk of leakage / continuously running water due to breakage or deposit of scale.
- c. Minimizes maintenance of toilets by minimizing the risk of leakage / continuously running water

Claims	in	general
--------	----	---------

- a. By specifying the ecoBETA® dual flush solution, our customers gain considerable water savings.
- b. User-friendly, intuitive/easy to operate: Use of the ecoBETA® dual flush solution does not cause any discomfort for the user.
- c. Reliable: Hardly any maintenance or risk of continuously running water after fitting of the ecoBETA® dual flush solution
- d. Cost effective: The ecoBETA® dual flush solution provides high value for money water savings.

Claims in relation to the user

- e. Considerable water savings resulting in cost savings
- f. Simple to use: For the small flush, just press and release. For the large flush, hold the lever or button for 3-4 seconds. The large flush can be interrupted
- g. High level of user satisfaction
- h. Minimizes the need for maintenance due to continuously running water

References to literature, awards and recognitions, case studies and other relevant articles listed in Tables 1,2,3,4)

1-01,1-02,1-03,1-04,1-05,1-07,10-8,1-09,1-10,1-11,1-18, 1-15,1-16, 1-20,1-21,4-13,2-03,2-04,2-06,2-07,2-08,2-09,3a-02,3a-03,3a-04,3a-05,3a-06,3a-07,3a-08,3a-09, 3a-10,3b-01,3b-02, 3b-03,3b-04,3b-05,3b-06,3b-07,3b-08, 3b-09,3b-10, 3b-11,3b-12,3b-13, 3b-14,4-02,4-03,4-04,4-05,4-06,4-07,4-08,4-09,4-10,4-11, 4-12,4-13,4-14,4-15, 4-16

1-01,1-03,1-04,1-05,4-12,3a-06,3a-09,3b-05,3b-06, 3b-13

1-03,2-04,3a-01,3a-04,3a-01,3a-06,3a-10,3b-03,3b-06,3b-134-10

1-01,1-04,1-12,4-12,4-13,2-04,3b-06,3b-14,4-03,4-04

1-01,1-04,1-11,4-13,3-07,4-04

1-01,1-03,1-04,1-05,4-12,3a-06,3a-09,3b-05,3b-06

1-01,1-04,1-05,3b-134-12,4-07

1-03, 2-05,3a-01,3a-02,3a-04,3a-06,3a-10,3b-03,3b-06,4-10

October 2015 1 of 65



Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Claims in relation to water management

i. Significant water savings

j. Water companies gain overall cost savings on pumping, transport and purification of wastewater as less water is required.

k. The ecoBETA® dual flush solution constitutes a feasible option to tackle the balance between water supply and demand and security of supply in a way that is economically, socially and environmentally sustainable. This water efficiency measure should be combined with other water saving products as well as other measures including behaviour changes and awareness raising.

References to literature, awards and recognitions, case studies and other relevant articles listed in Tables 1,2,3,4)

1-01,1-02,1-03,1-04,1-05,1-07,10-8,1-09,1-10,1-11,1-12,1-15,1-16,1-20,1-214-13,2-03,2-04,2-06,2-07,2-08,2-09,3a-02,3a-03,3a-04,3a-05,3a-06,3a-07,3a-08,3a-09,3a-10,3b-01,3b-02,3b-03,3b-04,3b-05,3b-06,3b-07,3b-08,3b-09,3b-13,3b-14,4-02,4-03,4-04,4-05,4-06,4-07,4-08,4-09,4-10,4-11,4-12

4-12

3a-01,4-04

1-03,1-04,1-20, 3b-13,3b-14,4-02,4-04,4-06,4-08,4-10,4-11

Technical claims

1. Designed in several different versions for a wide range of toilet makes and models:

• Can be supplied as a retrofit for conversion of a single flush toilet into a dual flush toilet, while retaining the existing valve: By installing an ecoBETA® dual-flush mechanism, it is possible to reduce the flush volume by up to 50% per flush when activating the half-flush.

In replacement of dual flush valves with 2 buttons, the ecoBETA® dual flush valve saves water by minimizing the risk of user error, as users often activate the large flush when only the small flush is required

• For installation in new toilets

m. The ecoBETA® dual flush solution does not affect the full flush volume – but the large flush volume can be modulated by releasing the flushing button/lever when required, thereby interrupting the flushing action.

n. Easy installation/retrofitting by certified installer

o. When fitted, the $ecoBETA^{\circledast}$ dual flush solution is a discreet and integral part of the toilet design.

 $p. \ \ \, \text{The ecoBETA}^{\$} \, \text{dual flush solution can be operated by a lever or a button, operated upwards or downwards.}$

q. The ecoBETA® dual flush solution allows easy adjustment of the small flush level to the amount of water required by the toilet bowl and the sewage system, from 2½ litres to 5 litres or more.

r. The ecoBETA® dual flush solution is made up of only few parts. The sturdy and simple construction and functional design ensures operational reliability and minimizes the risk of malfunction due to breakage or deposits of scale.

1-01,1-02,1-03,1-04,1-06,1-07,1-08,1-09,1-10,1-11,1-12,1-15,1-16,1-20,4-13,2-03,2-04,2-06,2-09,4-07,4-12

1-03,2-03,2-05,3a-01,3a-02,3a-04,3a-08,3a-09,3a-10,3b-05,3b-06

3b-05,4-07

1-04,1-18,3b-01,3b-02, 3b-06

1-04,3b-02

2-04

4-07

1-03,1-18,2-05,3a-01,3a-02,3a-04,3a-01,3a-06,3b-03,3b-06,4-10

October 2015 2 of 65

eco**BETA**°

Title:

Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by:

HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Method

The relevant search terms have been found and the relevant information was extracted from the relevant literature/articles found on the Internet, see Tables 1 and 4. Overview of awards and recognitions are listed in Table 2. Extracts of Danish and international case studies are listed in Table 3.

October 2015 3 of 65

eco**BETA**®

Title:

Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Table 1: Relevant literature provided by water companies in the UK related to **ecoBETA**°

Ref.	Title of the	Evaluation of the	Study / Description	Relevant information gained from the article
no.	article/document	author/journal		
1-01	Preston Water Efficiency Initiative Final Report March 2009 Pages 7,9,12,15,17-21,30-35	Waterwise is an independent, not-for-profit NGO focused on decreasing water consumption in the UK and building the evidence base for large-scale water efficiency. As the leading authority on water efficiency in the UK, their aim is to reverse the upward trend in how much water is used at home and at work by working closely with the water industry, governments and regulators, manufacturers and retailers.	The Preston Water Efficiency Initiative piloted an innovative water demand-man- agement project that aimed to: Reduce levels of water consumption of tenants living in social housing; and Provide recommen- dations for future retrofitting of water efficiency devices in existing stock. The initiative was undertaken in Preston, Surrey, and had a number of key com- ponents: The installation of new dual flush toilets and water efficient showers to 160 dwellings as part of an enhanced Decent Homes pro- gramme; The retrofitting of water efficiency devices, such as a dual flush conver- sion product and a leakage alarm, to 205 properties that	Demand management retrofit programme The package of measures included the following: • Toilets: an initial check of each toilet's water level was made by the surveyor and adjustments were carried out to ensure that the level was not higher than that recommended by the manufacturer (usually a line is shown on the inside of the cistern). Each toilet was then assessed for suitability of installing an ecoBETA, which is a dual flush retrofit device that converts an existing 9-litre or 7.5-litre cistern into a dual flush cistern (e.g. typically 9/4.5 litres). School and leisure centre retrofit programme The initiative also involved work with the local primary school, Epsom Downs, and the Banstead Leisure Centre, both based in Preston. In order to decide on which appropriate measures were necessary, a specialist contractor called Aqualogic was commissioned to undertake water saving audits at both venues. The devices were fitted by Aqualogic at the time of the survey. Following Aqualogic's recommendations the following water efficiency devices were installed at the school: • Urinal controls on flushing urinals; • Push taps to replace existing taps; • Flow restrictors on feeds to hot and cold taps; • ecoBETAs in toilets to convert to dual flush; • Replacement of high level cisterns with close-coupled dual flush cisterns; and, • Water butts. Water efficiency devices were installed at the leisure centre following an audit and recommendations by Aqualogic: • Existing taps were replaced by push taps (achieved by inserting a new top to the existing tap shank); • In-line flow regulators were used to control the flow of the taps; • Urinal controls were either repaired or replaced; • ecoBETAs were installed in toilets to convert to dual flush; and • Water butts were placed. Actual water savings Refurbishment and retrofit programmes

October 2015 4 of 65



Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

were not part of the Decent Homes programme; Each of the monitoring methods (as detailed in appendix 4) produced an estima bathroom refurbishment and the retrofit programme (table 3). These results wer an overall saving for the 2 types of programme (table 3). These results wer an overall saving for the 2 types of programme (table 3). These results wer an overall savings, every close to the original forecast. The retrofit savings are slight which could be due to those homes where the devices could not be fitted. For evere fitted in 92% of homes, as some already had dual flush toilets. Number of properties	Ref.	Title of the	Evaluation of the	Study / Description	Relevant infor	mation gained	l from the arti	cle				
become Homes programme; and the retrofit programme (table 3). These results were an an overall saving for the 2 types of programme (table 4). Table 3 shows that both the refurbishment and retrofit components of the progress of the original forecast. The retrofit savings are slight which could be due to those homes where the devices could not be fitted. For exere fitted in 92% of homes, as some already had dual flush totlets. Number of properties Number of Number of properties Number of Number	no.	article/document	author/journal									
Table 3 shows that both the refurbishment and retrofit components of the proge crable savings, very close to the original forecast. The retrofit savings are slight which could be due to those homes where the devices could not be fitted. For e were fitted in 92% of homes, as some already had dual flush toilets. Number of properties				Decent Homes	mes bathroom refurbishment and the retrofit programme (table 3). These results were combined to produ							
Number of properties Monitoring Monitoring period % water saving for refurbished properties					erable savings, which could be	very close to the	he original fore omes where the	cast. The retrofit s devices could no	avings are stated. I	slightly less	than forecast,	
Individual 27 Fortnightly Oct 07 – Dec 08 23%						Number of	,	Monitoring pe-	% water s	oished fo	water savings or retrofit roperties	
Small Area 139 15 minute Oct 07 – Dec 08 23% Monitors Average of all methods of monitoring 24.2% Weighted average watersavings 25.0% Table 3: Measured watersavings 25.0% Tenants feedback: retrofits Questionnaires were completed with sixty-six tenants, which represents about of participating households who received retrofits. Table 10 summarises users' attitudes to each of the water saving devises that we the retrofit. The table shows that the installation of the ecoBETA was the most 41% of respondents saying that they either liked or liked a lot this feature. The the leak alarm was liked or liked a lot this feature. The leak alarm was liked or liked a lot by 21 percent of people. Dislike a lot Dislike No opinion Like Like						27	Fortnightly	Oct 07 – Dec 08			3%	
Monitors Average of all methods of monitoring 24.2% Weighted average watersavings 25.0% Table 3: Measured watersavings 25.0% Tenants feedback: retrofits Questionnaires were completed with sixty-six tenants, which represents about of participating households who received retrofits. Table 10 summarises users' attitudes to each of the water saving devises that we the retrofit. The table shows that the installation of the ecoBETA was the most of the ecoBETA was the most of the eleast alarm was liked or liked a lot this feature. The table shaped in the eleast alarm was liked or liked a lot by 21 percent of people. Dislike a lot Dislike No opinion Like Like ecoBETA 9% 2% 29% 30% 110 Leak alarm 17% 5% 49% 18% 3% Water butt 0% 0% 2% 0% 5% Table 10: Opinion of the water saving devices fitted Table 11 shows that around 3/4 of tenants (73 percent) said that they were eithe with the overall quality of the fittings. 82 percent of tenants also said that they were either with the overall quality of the fittings. 82 percent of tenants also said that they were either with the overall quality of the fittings. 82 percent of tenants also said that they were either with the overall quality of the fittings. 82 percent of tenants also said that they were either with the overall quality of the fittings. 82 percent of tenants also said that they were either with the overall quality of the fittings. 82 percent of tenants also said that they were either with the overall quality of the fittings. 82 percent of tenants also said that they were either with the overall quality of the fittings. 82 percent of tenants also said that they were either with the overall quality of the fittings.							minute	_		-		
Weighted average watersavings 25.0% Table 3: Measured watersavings Tenants feedback: retrofits Questionnaires were completed with sixty-six tenants, which represents about of participating households who received retrofits. Table 10 summarises users' attitudes to each of the water saving devises that we the retrofit. The table shows that the installation of the ecoBETA was the mose					Monitors			Oct 07 – Dec 08			5%	
Table 3: Measured watersavings Tenants feedback: retrofits Questionnaires were completed with sixty-six tenants, which represents about of participating households who received retrofits. Table 10 summarises users' attitudes to each of the water saving devises that we the retrofit. The table shows that the installation of the ecoBETA was the most 41% of respondents saying that they either liked or liked a lot this feature. The teak alarm was liked or liked a lot by 21 percent of people. Dislike a lot Dislike No opinion Like Like											3.7%	
Tenants feedback: retrofits Questionnaires were completed with sixty-six tenants, which represents about of participating households who received retrofits. Table 10 summarises users' attitudes to each of the water saving devises that we the retrofit. The table shows that the installation of the ecoBETA was the most 41% of respondents saying that they either liked or liked a lot this feature. The the leak alarm was liked or liked a lot by 21 percent of people. Dislike No opinion Like					Weighted avera	25.0%	13	3.9%				
ecoBETA 9% 2% 29% 30% 119 Leak alarm 17% 5% 49% 18% 3% Water butt 0% 0% 2% 0% 5% Table 10: Opinion of the water saving devices fitted Table 11 shows that around 3/4 of tenants (73 percent) said that they were either with the overall quality of the fittings. 82 percent of tenants also said that they were either with the overall quality of the fittings. 82 percent of tenants also said that they were either with the overall quality of the fittings. 82 percent of tenants also said that they were either with the overall quality of the fittings.					Questionnaires participating ho Table 10 summ the retrofit. <i>The</i> 41% of respondent	were complete buseholds who harises users' at the table shows the dents saying the	received retrofi titudes to each hat the installa tat they either l	its. of the water savin tion of the ecoBE iked or liked a lot	g devises th TA was the	nat were inst most popu	talled as part of lar feature, with	
Leak alarm 17% 5% 49% 18% 3% Water butt 0% 0% 2% 0% 5% Table 10: Opinion of the water saving devices fitted Table 11 shows that around 3/4 of tenants (73 percent) said that they were either with the overall quality of the fittings. 82 percent of tenants also said that they were either with the overall quality of the fittings.						Dislike a lot	Dislike	No opinion	Like	Like a lot	N/A	
Water butt 0% 0% 2% 0% 5% Table 10: Opinion of the water saving devices fitted Table 11 shows that around 3/4 of tenants (73 percent) said that they were either with the overall quality of the fittings. 82 percent of tenants also said that they were either with the overall quality of the fittings.							2%		30%	11%	17%	
Table 10: Opinion of the water saving devices fitted Table 11 shows that around 3/4 of tenants (73 percent) said that they were either with the overall quality of the fittings. 82 percent of tenants also said that they were either than the overall quality of the fittings.										3%	3%	
Table 11 shows that around 3/4 of tenants (73 percent) said that they were either with the overall quality of the fittings. 82 percent of tenants also said that they were either than 10 million.								2%	0%	5%	88%	
Very unhappy Not happy Happy					Table 11 shows with the overal	s that around 3/l quality of the h the service th	4 of tenants (73 fittings. 82 per eat they had bee	cent of tenants also n provided by the	o said that to contractors	hey were ei		

October 2015 5 of 65



Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Ref.	Title of the	Evaluation of the	Study / Description	Relevant information	on gained from t	the article			
no.	article/document	author/journal							
				Satisfaction with quality of fittings	5%	14%	58%	15%	
				Satisfaction with	6%	5%	51%	31%	
				contractors Table 11: Opinion of stan	dards				
				Around a third of users said that they had experienced some problems with the devices as highlighted in table 12 below. The most significant problem that 22% of respondents raised was with the leakage alarm and the other was the ecoBETA. Again the Project Team investigated the issues and took action.					
				For example, some tenants stated that the alarm kept going off; in most of these cases the tenant or Raven removed the device. One tenant stated the alarm did not go off despite a leak, whilst another said the alarm did detect a leak.					
				Regarding the ecoB handle must be held				ull flush due to arthritis (the r fitted properly.	
				None	ecoBETA		Leak alarm	Other	
				Table 12: Types of proble	9%		22%	2%	
				Table 12: Types of problem experienced Findings: practitioner experience (extract) To evaluate practitioner experience key participants who had been involved were interviewed. Full details of the responses to the semi structured questionnaires are given in appendix 7; however, the main comments can be summarised as follows:					
				• The ecoBETA was water savings	as the most succe	essful retrof	it product and provid	led the best value for money	
				dual flush. This a was generally lik 30% water saving	sful retrofit devic device was responed by tenants; ho gs were achieved	nsible for th owever, it m 'in a school	e majority of water s ust be fitted correctly that received retrofi	verts a single flush siphon to a vavings through the retrofit and v. tting of water efficiency de- aps, new dual flush toilets and	
					own that converti			t to dual flush has obtained ed Social Landlords and other	

October 2015 6 of 65

Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Ref.	Title of the	Evaluation of the	Study / Description	Relevant i	nformation gained from the article						
no.	article/document	author/journal									
				housing providers. A number of retrofit toilet devices are on the market and the cinitiative was the ecoBETA.				t and the on	e used in this		
				Most succ	essful part of project (extract)						
					lue water saving from ecobeta toilet retr	ofit device					
					•	3					
				• look for other pr	Forward (extract) r quick wins — need to fit water saving de urposes (e.g. gas inspections) FAs most cost effective part of project	evices like e	ecoBETAs	when visitin	g houses for		
1-	United Utilities	United Utilities holds a	UU takes an active role	The follow	ring paragraphs summarise UU's water e	fficiency po	licies and a	ctivities in 1	espect of each		
02		license to provide water	in promoting the effi-		good practice headings identified in Water						
	Final Water Resources	and sewage services to	cient use of water by all	(Ofwat, 20		•			C		
	Management Plan	around 7 million people	types of household and	Toilet retr	ofit						
	September 2009	in North West England	non-household custom-			ne audits usi	ing qualifie	d plumbers	Amongst other		
	Main Report		ers.	In 2007 UU conducted over 400 "visit and fix" home audits using qualified plumbers. Amongst other activities, the plumbers fitted "ecoBeta" dual flush conversion devices. <i>These devices are an effective</i>							
	•			method of converting a single flush toilet into a dual flush appliance, potentially saving an average							
	Page 96			of 20 litres	per property per day. In total around 2	00 devices v	vere fitted.				
					T		Water savir	ige	Number in		
1-	Using Science to Create a	The Environment	The aims and main			(li	tres/property		sample		
03	Better Place	Agency is the leading			Water efficiency measure	Max	Min	Mean			
	Delivering water neutrality:	public body protecting	identify and assess	Toilets	Cistern displacement devices	34.60	0.01	11.47	16		
	Measures and funding	and improving the	options relating to the		Dual-flush replacement (social housing)	145.29	61.32	103.31	2		
	strategies	environment in England	implementation and		Dudley turbo 88 retrofit dual-flush	24.39	4.19	16.23	6		
	_	and Wales.	financing of water		ecoBETA retrofit	53.49	5.73	21.16	8		
	Resource Efficiency		neutrality, particularly		Ecoflush (variable flush device)	22.14	16.90	19.52	2		
	Science Programme		relating to 'offsetting'		Variflush (variable flush device	24.00	22.14	23.37	3		
	0 1 2000		new water use by	Showers	Shower timer	26.05	0.23	4.32	8		
	October 2009		retrofitting existing		Shower flow restrictor	6.18	6.18	6.18	1		
	Pages 24, 39, 89		homes, buildings and		Shower heads	39.50	1.14	12.40	11		
	1 4ges 21, 37, 67		nondomestic water use.		Bath measure	4.44	4.44	4.44	1		
			nondomestic water use.		Replacing bathing with showering	39.46	37.31	38.39	2		
				Taps	Tap inserts and restrictors	24.89	2.07	10.19	9		
					Tap washers (repairing dripping taps)	13.08	0.47	7.54	5		
1					Turning tap off when brushing teeth	29.75	10.31	21.55	4		
		1	1				1.70	2.65	4		
				Outdoor	Water butts	4.76	1.58	2.05	4		
				Outdoor	Water butts Hose guns	1.58	0.28	1.13	8		
				Outdoor					-		

October 2015 7 of 65



Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Ref.	Title of the	Evaluation of the	Study / Description	Relevant information gained from the article
no.	article/document	author/journal		
				Table 3.2 Water savings from interventions
				Intervention measures that constitute mitigation strategies to achieve water neutrality are described in this appendix. Details included here represent current understanding of the evidence of their effectiveness in making water savings. Trials in many parts of the country are ongoing and it is hoped that evidence will continue to be gathered and analysed.
				Water efficiency measures for toilets: Cistern displacement devices, such as a Hippo or Save-a-Flush Retrofit dual-flush devices, such as the ecoBETA Retrofit interruptible flush devices, such as the Interflush Replacement dual-flush toilets Replacement low-flush toilets
				The changes in the Water Supply (Water Fittings) Regulations in 1999 set a mandatory maximum flush of 6 litres for any toilet installed after January 2000. This policy driver has been successful in reducing the water used for toilet flushing. There remain, however, a large number of large volume cisterns in operation throughout the UK and these are responsible for a major proportion of per capita consumption.
				Dual-flush toilets are often considered to be more efficient than single-flush systems. They allow a main flush (long flush) of between 4 to 6 litres, with a smaller flush (short flush) button that uses around 2 to 4 litres. It is possible for dual-flush systems to be retrofitted into single-flush toilets with minimal effort. There is however now growing evidence that many dual-flush mechanisms can fail which can result in leakage. As well as risk of the mechanism jamming and the valves leaking (which go undetected due to the internal overflow) there is also evidence that the user does not know how to operate the dual-flush system properly. Often only the higher flush is used, or sometimes when the smaller flush is used at the wrong time this necessitates a double flush which wastes water. Current devices on the market are not always intuitive and are not usually clearly labelled. Regulations could be amended to improve the labelling of all water-efficient products.
				One of the main reasons for targeting toilets to reduce demand management is that they will always be used daily, and the yield is more accurate than other devices as it is an engineered defined solution and does not have a behavioural component. There is not a time component of this water use one flush is one flush and this hopefully will not change over time. Toilet retrofits would reduce annual average demand, but not peak demand.

October 2015 8 of 65



Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Ref.	Title of the article/document	Evaluation of the author/journal	Study / Description	Relevant information gained from the article
110.	article/document	author/journal		
1-04	Water Efficiency Project End of Year 1 Report: 2008-2009 Pages 3-5, 9-11, 17, 26-27	Environment Agency RADIAN.is an award winning organisation providing nearly 21000 affordable homes in Berkshire, Buckinghamshire, Dorset, Hampshire, Isle of Wight, Surrey, Sussex and Wiltshire	The Water Efficiency Project is being undertaken by Radian in partnership with the Environment Agency from March 2008-2010 and researches how best to tackle water efficiency in new-build and existing homes. Recommendations are provided based on the first year's findings. The South East of England, including South Hampshire, has been identified as a region of severe water stress: the region has low rainfall, a high population density and each individual is consuming high volumes of water. South Hampshire is a new growth point area with 80,000 new homes proposed over 2006- 2026 which will put further pressure on water resources. To ac- commodate the pro- posed growth in the sub-region, there needs to be sustainable de- mand management of water in the PUSH area	Research undertaken in year 1 Existing Homes Data collection meters were installed in 28 existing homes to monitor water consumption levels (the homes were built in 1977). Retro-fitting of 8 existing homes with ecoBETA dual flush toilet devices. Collecting 28 surveys about household behaviour in existing homes. 300 save-a flush bags were distributed within Radian properties and offices. Findings from year 1 Existing Homes Retrofitting the ecoBETA device reduced water consumption by 21%, from an average of 137 litres per person per day to 108 litres per person per day — 29 litres, achieving Defra's target of 130 litres. At the moment only water companies can purchase ecoBETAs and each ordinarily costs around £10 although this depends on how many devices are ordered. Labour to fit the 8 devices cost £320. It is estimated that one resident, who was on a meter and had an ecoBETA installed, is saving £18 a month. Generally residents gave positive feedback about using the ecoBETA, however some residents commented that they had to flush twice. Recommendations Significant water savings are made when new homes are built to Code for Sustainable Homes Levels 3 and 4 such as the homes in Liss and Alton. Significant savings can also be made in the existing housing stock if existing homes were retro-fitted with ecoBETA dual flush toilet devices. It is important to note that increased water efficiency is required in the existing housing stock to facilitate growth and enable water neutrality to be achieved. Raising awareness on the importance of water conservation alongside fitting water efficient devices is required as the way water is used also contributes to further reducing water consumption. Additionally, reducing usage of hot water in the home also contributes to lower fuel bills alongside traditional energy efficiency measures such as insulation.

October 2015 9 of 65



Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Ref.	Title of the	Evaluation of the	Study / Description	Relevant information gained from the article
no.	article/document	author/journal		
			with the aim of water neutrality	Figure 6: Eco beta retrofit device Figure 7: Installing an eco-beta device
				Figure 8: Paul Sansby, Portsmouth Water and David Leach, Radian Services fitting an eco-beta device Figure 9: After the ecoBETA device has been fitted
				It was found that to install the ecoBETA device, which included drilling a hole in the toilet siphon, took about 15 minutes. However 15% of the toilets were more difficult to fit because they had a more modern flush system. One toilet was found to have an old and large toilet cistern where the ecoBETA could not be fitted. Therefore an order was raised to replace the toilet with a new dual flush toilet, as part of standard maintenance works.
				For the first year, the main aim was to trial the ecoBETA device. To determine a clear-cut result for this, it was necessary to use a quantitative approach. At the start of the project most households paid their water bills on a rateable basis. For the purpose of this project, Portsmouth Water installed water meters that collect data on how much water each household uses. However, the households continued to pay their water bill as they were doing before, unless they requested otherwise. To determine the impact of the ecoBETA, water consumption before and after the installation of the device was compared. Table 4 shows the figures for the set-up of this existing homes project.
				Similar to the new homes project a qualitative approach, in the form of a short questionnaire, was also used to find out what devices were already in their properties and about residents behaviour with these water appliances.
				The Cost

October 2015 10 of 65



Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Ref.	Title of the	Evaluation of the	Study / Description	Relevant information gained from the article
no.	article/document	author/journal		
				Portsmouth Water provided the ecoBETAs free of charge. At the moment, only water companies can purchase ecoBETAs. Ordinarily an ecoBETA costs about £10, but this depends on how many devices are ordered. The total cost of the labour to fit the devices was £320. Raising awareness in new and existing homes Further, it was found that in some of our new homes where water efficient technology was installed, residents felt that they were more aware of the way they use water.
				Existing Homes Project It would be expected that the older homes would be less efficient and therefore consume large volumes of water. However it was found that, without making any changes, the average water consumption for the properties is 129.3 litres per person per day and the average occupancy is 1.7 people per property. This meets Defra's target of 130 litres per person per day. This figure is surprisingly low in comparison with the assumed average for the South East of England of 160 litres per person per day. One reason for this may be because of the requirement Radian has, under the Decent Homes standard, to refurbish bathrooms so the appliances may not be as old and inefficient as other existing homes. 8 properties have so far had ecoBETAs fitted. The feedback has been mixed; although most comments have been positive, a few residents found that they had to flush the toilet twice. These properties will be visited to find out the problems. One resident said that ecoBETA means that "Ithe toilet] uses less water, but working on the original lever rather than pressing a smaller knob to flush the loo makes it a lot easier!" However one resident found that "[they had] to keep pushing [the] handle loads of times before it flushes". As shown in table 11, before installing the ecoBETAs into the 8 properties, average water consumption was 137 litres per capita per day. After installing the ecoBETAs the average water consumption reduced to 108 litres per person per day. This means that an average of 29 litres of water has been saved per person per day in each of the 8 properties. This works out to be a saving of about 12,200 litres a month. Clearly, over a year, if the devices continue to work, a substantial amount of water could be saved. Properties participating in existing homes project Average consumption(litres pcc) All properties with dual flush toilets 144.8 Other properties with dual flush toilets 144.8 Other properties with ecoBETA fitting

October 2015 11 of 65



Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Ref.	Title of the	Evaluation of the	Study / Description	Relevant inf	ormation	gained fro	m the artic	ele				
no.	article/document	author/journal										
				Average con	sumption b	efore ecoBE	TA was fitte					
				Average con				108.2				
				% reduction				21%				
				Estimated as	erage wate	r saving per	person per a	lay 29				
				Table 11: Avera	ge water con	sumption (litre	es pcc) for pro	perties partici	pating in exis	ting home proj	ject	
				Financial say	ings for re	sidents						
				As most resid	dents are n	ot on meter	ed water bi	lls, they ha	ve not yet i	eceived an	y financial	benefit from
				installing the				-				
				installed, is s					,			
				thisterious, to a	<i>wrm</i> 8 210							
1-	Essex & Suffolk Water	Part of Northumbrian	This Final Water	A range of o	her device	s have been	n distributed	d free on re	quest and t	hrough proj	jects as fol	lows:
05	Final Water Resources	Water Group providing water in eastern	Resource Management Plan has been produced					Number	Sent Out			
	Management Plan	England.	in accordance with	Item	200	04/5	200	05/6		06/7	20	07/8
	2010-2035	Eligiand.	statutory requirements		Mailing	Through	Mailing	Audit	Mailing	Audit	Mailing	Audit
			and follows the	ecoBETA		audits		projects	37	projects 1010		projects 898
	Pages 157, 178-183, 192-		Environment Agency's	dual flush					3/	1010		898
	193, 223,227,416,484-485		Guidance document.	device								
			All of the components of demand and supply have been reviewed for this plan and the majority reworked using specific guidance or industry best practice methodologies.	H2eco In a change tunlimited. F seven toilet pan alternative to the custon water use e.g saving produ The table bel Key Result Total custo participate Application Metered vs	or example products we was offer her on what the ecoB ct, then the ow summater invites received	e with the e ere made av red, (if avail t action to t ETA and Sa e alternative arises the re	coBETA, if vailable to the value of the valu	f the custon hem. In case re no alterry/here a cho for wcs, the re neither if the project: % of mailing hat applied Metered (9)	ner stated theses where the ses where the se	hat they had he product of uct was suit uct was ava k first offer	I seven toi ordered wa able advic ailable to a ed the prin	lets then as unsuitable, e was given ddress one nary water

October 2015 12 of 65



Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Ref.	Title of the	Evaluation of the	Study / Description	Relevant information gained	d from the article	
no.	article/document	author/journal				
				Audits completed	1,495	
				Product	Quantity Fitted	Water saved l/property/day
				ecoBETA	903	48.3
				Aerated shower head	301	36.8
				Save-a-flush	524	13.8
				Tap inserts	635	19.6
				Kitchen tap insert	573	13.7
				Tap re-washering	159	10.6
				Bath measure	535	4.94
				Shower timer	1,495	2.45
				Water butt (standard)	985	1.90
				Hose gun	1,026	1.51
				Crystals	1,263	0.02
				Children detective kit	684	Undetermined
				Shower flow bag	1495	Undetermined
				Savings		30.55 l/prop/day
				In May 2008, 7,306 customer	s in a different area of Chelmsford were	e mailed and invited to take part

In May 2008, 7,306 customers in a different area of Chelmsford were mailed and invited to take part in the project. 1,439 customers took part in the project having water-saving products delivered and/or installed, representing a return rate of 20%. The same method of calculating the savings was used as described in Phase 1 (meter reads taken at all externally metered properties, 200 loggers installed and measurement by proxy). All participating properties saved on average 17.38 litres per property per day.

The table below shows the number of products installed through delivering the project:

Product	Number delivered and/or installed
ecoBETA	370
Save-a-flush	427
Aerated showerhead	169
Tap inserts	209
Miracle Tap Adaptor	314
Tap re-washering service	121
Bath measurWater butte	578
Water saving crystals	1094
Hose gun	1168
Children's activity pack	991

October 2015 13 of 65



Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Ref.	Title of the	Evaluation of the	Study / Description	Relevant information gained from the article				
no.	article/document	author/journal						
				Shower flow bags	756			
				Shower timers	7306			
				Both Phase 1 and Phase 2 we	ere deemed great successes by the cust	omers and the results/recom-		
				mendations have been taken forward to influence the phase 3 trial currently being carried out.				
						, 0		
				Phase 3 trial	'II' I	H. H		
					ill provide a number of benefits. It will	_		
				_	products fitted. An area of concern in groducts such as the ecoBETA and			
					stem changes. This new approach wil	-		
					tomer and discuss any concerns, ultim	_		
				products we can fit whilst vi	•	active thereusing the number of		
				ecoBETA				
				In September 2006 Essex & Suffolk Water were given the opportunity to trial a dual flush retrofit				
				device designed by a Danish company called ecoBETA. The device (called ecoBETA dual flush)				
				transforms a standard sipho	on single flush toilet into a dual flush	toilet.		
				A total of 37 ecoBETA dual	flush devices were installed in 15 hou	useholds in Suffolk. The water		
				consumption of each house	hold participating in the trial was mor	nitored throughout the course of		
				the project (ESW, 2006a).				
				There were a few household	ds which had been selected to have an	ecoBETA device installed but the		
				•	alled because of problems with the to			
					l was because the toilet cistern was too			
				ecoBETA dual flush device	has been designed to allow it to be ins	stalled in narrower cisterns.		
				Customar follow un question	nnaires were sent to all who took part	This questionnaire asked a range		
					re. The questionnaire also gave the op	_		
					e. The questionnaire also gave the op, device being installed) why the housel			
					few weeks. Very positive feedback was			
					nd saying they would recommend it to			
					operty was monitored using logger and			
				•	nstalled. From this data <mark>an average pe</mark>	er property per day saving of 35		
				litres was recorded.				

October 2015 14 of 65



Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Ref.	Title of the	Evaluation of the	Study / Description	Relevant information gained from the article	
no.	article/document	author/journal			
				With such a high saving, it was appropriate that we undertake a larger project to confirm that these results were repeatable.	
				Chelmsford ecoBETA Following a successful trial of the ecoBETA dual flush device carried out in Suffolk in October 2006, it was decided to roll out the offer of the device to customers living in the Chelmsford area of Essex. As well as installing the dual flush device for customers we wanted them to also think about their overall water use so we also delivered a self audit packs at the same time as the retrofit. This way, the customers would receive a lasting technology change as well as being encouraged to assess how they use water and make changes to their behavior.	
				In April 2007, 4,878 customers were sent a letter and questionnaire inviting them to take part. The questionnaire aimed to gather information about the property and toilets. This enabled us to assess the suitability of the device before visiting the property, 910 customers applied; a take up rate of 18.7%. Of these, 9% were not suitable for the retrofit and so received an audit pack in the post. The remaining customers were called to arrange an appointment to install the devices.	
				The project was managed by Mouchel who arranged the appointments and visited the properties to install the devices. 553 customers had devices installed between April and July 2007, with a total of 1,010 ecoBETA devices fitted as part of the project. Each customer also received their self audit pack, 187 customers completed and returned the self audit form.	
				To calculate the savings achieved, meter readings were taken at all metered properties 3 weeks before the ecoBETAs were installed. A reading was also taken on the day the visit was made and again 3 weeks later. The water savings were calculated by looking at the water consumption before and after the devices were installed. 42 properties also had data loggers installed to gather more detailed consumptions. Combining all the data collected gave an overall saving of 31 litres/property/day.	
				During August, each customer who had a device installed was sent a questionnaire to gather their views on the project. We also took this opportunity to thank them for taking part. 346 customers have returned the questionnaire. This has provided useful feedback to guide future projects.	
				In November 2008, 140 customers took part in a follow-up research programme aimed at investigating how the ecoBETA devices worked a year following installation. A plumber visited each participant and carried out a thorough inspection of the ecoBETA's installed within the property. These inspections were carried out in order to ascertain whether the devices were working correctly	

October 2015 15 of 65



Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Ref.	Title of the	Evaluation of the	Study / Description	Relevant information gained from the article					
no.	article/document	author/journal							
		7		and whether any alterations were required. Customers were also asked a series of simple questions					
				aimed at establishing their view on the devices having used it for over a year.					
					ne measurements were also colle	_			
					ollect these measurements which	-	_		
				volume of water requ	uired for both flush settings. The	ese visits also provide	d the opportunity to measure		
				the depth of water le	ft in the cistern after a full flush	- a measurement that	will provide an insight into		
				the effectiveness of o	cistern displacement devices.				
				Th		:	:		
				*	roject, including the customers's		ity of the devices and the		
				flush volume measur	rements are currently being anal	ysed.			
				Activity 6					
				I	g in 2 000 properties pa. Total v	vater saved 0.0460 V	[]/day saying achieved /		
				ecoBETA retrofitting in 2,000 properties pa. Total water saved 0.0460 Ml/day saving achieved / total cost GBP 62,080. Total costs of activities GBP 243,903.47					
				total cost GD1 02,00	ov. Total costs of activities GDI	213,703.17			
1-	Evidence Base for Large-	Waterwise is an	This final report of	2.2.8 Estimates of water savings from devices in schools					
07	scale Water Efficiency	independent, not-for-	Phase II of the Evidence		ates of water savings could be u		ies to plan for future		
	Phasa II Final Papart	profit NGO focused on	Base Project includes		<i>rojects</i> such as in future price re				
	Phase II Final Report	decreasing water	new data on the	process.	,		2		
	April 2011	consumption in the UK	effectiveness of	Category	Device	Derived Water	Units for savings		
	Pages 34, 51-52-64,66,102-	and building the	domestic and schools			Savings			
	103, 110,114,140,143,150	evidence base for large-	projects in addition to	Toilets	Dual-flush valve conversion	0.77.41	71.		
	103, 110,114,140,143,130	scale water efficiency.	summarising what has		ecoBETA dual flush siphon Cistern Dams	0.174*y	litres/pupil/day		
		As the leading authority	been learnt from the		Cistern Dams Cistern Displacement Devices	0.068*y	litres/pupil/day		
		on water efficiency in	two previous reports: on	Taps	Push Taps – Retrofit	0.105*x	litres/pupil/day		
		the UK, their aim is to	domestic water	Тарз	Push Taps - Complete tap	0.105 x	litres/pupil/day		
		reverse the upward trend	efficiency retrofitting		In-line flow regulators	0.211*z	litres/pupil/day		
		in how much water is	(the February 2010		Outlet aerators regulator	-	-		
		used at home and at	report)1; and water		Re-time existing tap	-	-		
		work by working closely	efficiency retrofitting in	Urinals	Service UCD	40	litres/day		
		with the water industry,	schools2 (the December		Hydromate – Mains 240v	80	litres/day		
		governments and regu-	2010 report).		Hydrocell – Battery 6v	80	litres/day		
		lators, manufacturers			Hydrocell Ultra – Battery 6v	80	litres/day		
		and retailers.			Isolation valve	-	-		
				Table 6 Revised water say Programme	vings assumptions for 43 schools which	were part of the Severn Tre	ent School Water Efficiency		
				3.3.3 United Utilitie	es Home Audit Study				

October 2015 16 of 65



Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Ref.	Title of the	Evaluation of the	Study / Description	Relevant information gained from the article					
no.	article/document	author/journal							
		3		3.3.3.1 Summary					
				This trial investigated the effectiveness of domestic water efficiency devices. Specifically it was de-					
				signed to:					
				1 Determine the pr	acticality of fitting and promoti	ng a range of water-say	ing devices:		
					erstanding of the likely costs of		ing devices,		
					ated savings of these devices th		trict Metering Area (DMA)		
				metering.	ated savings of these devices th	nough property and Dis	anet wetering Area (DWA)		
				Device Fitted	l I	Number fitted (percentag	ge of homes)		
				Showerheads		212 (48%)	,		
				ecoBETA dual flush		193 (34%)			
				Save-a-flush bags		384 (58%)			
				Table 11 Water saving de	vices installed during the United Utilit	es Home Audit Project			
				All customers who h	and a water audit carried out we	re given a 'water savers	nack' which included a		
					and information on saving water				
				including water-efficient showerheads (Challis aerated showerheads), dual-flush retrofit (ecoBETA					
				dual-flush devices) and save-a-flush cistern displacement devices. Customers were given the option of requesting the removal or repair of water-efficient devices for up to 3 months after they were fitted.					
				Devices were installed by 3 qualified plumbers, who					
				4.5.3.1 The Basis of the Theoretical Water Savings					
				In this section analysis is carried out to assess the appropriateness of the assumptions set out in section					
				4.3 surrounding water savings from retrofitting devices in schools. The assumptions presented below,					
					ole 60, are applied to the data co				
					rent School Water Efficiency Pr				
					nstallation visits, to establish th				
						1			
				Category	Device	Derived Water Savings	Units for savings		
				Toilets	Dual-flush valve conversion				
					ecoBETA dual flush siphon	3.3*y	litres/pupil/day		
					Cistern Dams				
					Cistern Displacement Devices	1.3*y	litres/pupil/day		
				Taps	Push Taps – Retrofit	2.0*x	litres/pupil/day		
					Push Taps- Complete tap	2.0*x	litres/pupil/day		
					In-line flow regulators	4.0*z	litres/pupil/day		
				Outlet aerators regulator					
				Re-time existing tap					
				Urinals	Service UCD	40	litres/day		
					Hydromate – Mains 240v	80	litres/day		
					Hydrocell – Battery 6v	80	litres/day		

October 2015 17 of 65



Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Ref.	Title of the	Evaluation of the	Study / Description	Relevant information gained from the article			
no.	article/document	author/journal					
				Hydrocell Ultra – Battery 6v 80 litres/day			
				Isolation valve			
				Table 60 Summary of the water savings assumptions initially applied to estimate the savings from a school retrofitting programme.			
1-08	Annual Review of the Water Resources Management Plan January 2012 Pages 22, 26-28	Essex & Suffolk Water is part of Northumbrian Water Group providing water in eastern England.	The purpose of the annual review is to identify any material changes to the FWRMP, and to report on progress made with updating and implementing the plan. The review has been undertaken using guidelines provided by the Environment Agency.	H2eco Phase 5 H2eco is a successfully run domestic retrofit project run over the last 5 years. It provides the opportunity to customers in a selected area to book a free appointment for a fully qualified plumber to visit their property and assess how water efficient their water using appliances are. Suitable water saving products are then provided and fitted for free. The information on how much water each water saving product would save the customer was passed on straight away therefore engaging the customer. While in the home the plumbers also offered advice about where else water can be saved through the changing of bad habits into good habits such as spending one minute less in the shower. Mouchel Ltd were contracted to co-ordinate the projects running. Phase 5 was based in the Wickford area, following on from the projects previous area of Chelmsford. 15,085 customers were mailed with the offer in early October 2010 and appointments were booked up until mid March 2011. 10 free water saving products were on offer covering gardens, bathrooms and kitchen use. Overall 2266 appointments were completed with the following number of products fitted: COBETA			

October 2015 18 of 65



Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Ref.	Title of the	Evaluation of the	Study / Description	Relevant information gained from the article
no.	article/document	author/journal		
				Full audits including the fitting of water-saving products were carried out at 11 sites. Products fitted included:
				 30 ecoBETA dual flush retrofit devices 2 tap aerators 65 save-a-flush 9 aerated showerheads 9 trigger hose guns
				The audits resulted in total water savings of 2,930.90 litres per day, which equates to each property saving on average 183.18 litres per day.
				H2eco Analysis Research was commissioned into the last 4 phases of H2eco to provide in depth analysis and provide conclusions to improve future phases of our large retrofit project, savings analysis, product analysis and demographic profiling.
				Some key conclusions were:
				 Collecting logger data at 15 minute intervals had little benefit to the calculation of savings or identifying individual appliance usage behaviour 1 occupancy households fulfilled their potential of water savings, low income households had the lowest water saving potential Both ecoBETA and save-a-flush were proven to have statistically significant water savings when installed in a household.
1-09	Water Efficiency Evidence Base – Review and Enhancement Report – EPBLW 12032/5 Final Version, 21 June 2012 Pages 8,20,21,24,27,28,33,37,42, 51,70,77,96,116-119	Environment Agency is a UK Government Agency concerned mainly with rivers, floodings and pollution. "We protect and improve the environment and make it a better place for people and wildlife. We operate at the place where environmental change has its greatest impact on people"s	In preparation for the coming cycle of Water Resource Management Plans (WRMPs), and to give increased confidence in the evidence base for water efficiency, the Environment Agency commissioned an independent review and scrutiny of the available evidence base to date, to test its robustness and reliability.	 4.2 Final selection of trials for in-depth review Prioritisation was carried out to allow the statistics team to review as many trials as possible within the time constraints of the project. The trials below were selected as priority 1 and 2, and the reasons for selection are provided here. Essex and Suffolk Water (ESW) Chelmsford ecoBETA (2007) (Scobie, 2007) Provided good data on a single device. No disaggregation required. Key device used frequently by companies. 5.2 Results and findings from the review

October 2015 19 of 65



Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Ref.	Title of the	Evaluation of the	Study / Description	Relevant information gained from the article											
no.	article/document	author/journal													
		lives. We reduce the	principally the Waterwise Evidence Base, Phases I and II, as well as other evidence	Base, Phases I and II, as		Waterwi	se Phase 2 Evid	ence Base	Evidence	base review pr	oject finding	S			
		risks to people and properties from flood- ing; make sure there is enough water for people			Waterwise Evidence Base, Phases I and II, as	Waterwise Evidence Base, Phases I and II, as	Waterwise Evidence Base, Phases I and II, as	Waterwise Evidence Base, Phases I and II, as	Waterwise Evidence Base, Phases I and II, as	Study	Sample size	Mean reduction in consumption (l/prop/day	Quoted confidence limits	Sample size	Mean reduction in consumption (l/prop/day)
		and wildlife; protect and	on water efficiency	STW domestic	717	38.4	+149.8 to - 92.9	810	11.7	-2.92, 26.3	Using all data				
		improve air, land and water quality and apply the environmental standards within which	measures; and makes recommendations to inform the next cycle of WRMPs.	water efficiency trial			72.7	717	25.8	21.4, 30.1	Excludes data where %change >67.5%				
		industry can operate. Acting to reduce climate	The analysis carried out during the study	TW measured visit and	727	29.1	+153.9 to - 95.7	885	19.8	13.4, 26.2	Using phase 2 report screening rules				
1		change and helping peo-	confirms that most	fix trial				823	16.0	11.7, 20.2					
		ple and wildlife adapt to its consequences are at	water efficiency projects reviewed lead	TW self audit	525	21.53	+265.2 to - 221.5	635	15.6	2.63,28.5					
		the heart of all that we do.	to reductions in water consumption; and the	rateable value trial				489	0.32	-7.11, 7.74					
		We cannot do this alone.	study has identified a number of improve-	YW water saving	378	27.6	+124.3 to-	3704	26.2	16.0, 36.4	Using all data				
		We work closely with a wide range of partners including government, business, local	ments that could be made to the existing water efficiency	ments that could be made to the existing water efficiency	ments that could be made to the existing water efficiency	ments that could be made to the existing water efficiency	ments that could be made to the existing water efficiency	trial				359	34.5	-25.2, 43.7	Excludes data where % change >100%
		authorities, other agencies, civil society	evidence base, which should lead to a greater	SWW Water	152	9.1	+40.9 to - 21.8	348	7.46	-7.0, 22.0	Using all data				
		groups and the communities we serve."	confidence in its use.	confidence in its use.	confidence in its use.	efficiency trial				341	16.6	3.49, 29.7	Excludes data where %change >100%		
				ESW ecoBETA study	169	31.38 (phase 1 evidence base)	-	169	40.0	34.4, 45.7	Using all data				
				UU Home audit study trial	211	20.6	169.4 to 128.1	260	21.8	11.4,32.1	Using all data				
								246	20.4	13.0, 27.9	Using Phase 2 report screening rules				

October 2015 20 of 65



Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Ref.	Title of the	Evaluation of the	Study / Description	Relevant info	rmation gaine	d from the artic	le		
no.	article/document	author/journal							
				Phase	Sample s	water	sured mean r saving op/day)	90% confidence limits	Predicted mean water saving (l/prop/day)
				Phase 1	663	20.3		15.27	-
				Phase 2	622	6.7		0.4, 13	23.7
				Phase 3	155	4.9		-1, 11	14.4
				Phase 4	ed savings from lear	27.8		19,36	10.9
				The table shows that the predicted savings based on a pre and post audit water consumption me from the phase 1 study are about 3 times more than the measured savings in phases 2 and 3; and over a third of the measured savings imeet in phase 4. This study did however manage to explain the differences between the water savings achieved phases 1 and 4 compared with phases 2 and 3; and the influencing factors are the high intensecoBETA fittings in phases 1 and 4, and the low proportion of ACORN 5 (the Hard Pressed phases 1 and 4. 5.2.5 Multiple regression Whilst each device type will have its own story to tell, the dual flush device (most commonly ecoBETA) shows the most consistent statistically significant results. The project team undertook 4 sets of multi-regression analyses, which are reported here (Table					nases 2 and 3; and just eavings achieved in the the high intensity of the Hard Pressed) in the most commonly the
				Study	Sample size	Saving (l/device/day)	Statistically significant	Water company	Location
				H2Eco	1800	16.9	Highly	ESW	Chelmsford
				TW MVF	885	17.7	Highly	Thames	Swindon/Bromley
				ecoBETA	169	19.5	Highly	ESW	Chelmsford
				UU Home Audit	260	15.8	Highly	UU	Warrington
				Table 5.5 Results	from multiple regre	ession analysis			
				multi device we device ecoBE The other into ting saves a ve	vater efficiency TA installation eresting inferen ery similar amo ere may not be d	audit were show may save a little ce is that an eco unt of water as	vn to save bet more than 1 BETA instal one installed	ween 16 and 18 lit 9 to 20 litres/devic led in Chelmsford in Swindon, Brom	installed as part of a res/device/day. A single e/day. in a multi-device set-ley or Warrington. er efficiency studies as

October 2015 21 of 65



Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Ref.	Title of the article/document	Evaluation of the author/journal	Study / Description	Relevant information gained from the article				
				The results suggest that application of multiple regression to other Phase II studies could provide further statistical robustness to the results obtained, and help allay concerns regarding differences in regional responses.				
				6.2 WRc Collaborative Research project CP359 In 2009 a group of UK Water Companies, Defra and the Environment Agency collaborated to look at the impact of water audit activities in household properties at the micro-component level (Glennie, et al, 2010).				
				The 3 key messages from the study were:				
				• The greatest savings were achieved through retrofitting toilets. Toilet devices should be installed as a priority in older properties where toilet volumes are still likely to be high. Installing a combination of devices, for example "ecoBETA" and "Save-a-Flush" can be very effective in reducing the total volume used by toilets in a property.				
				6.3 Analysis of water saving data from H2Eco studies This study has enabled the analysis of a significant volume of data collected in a consistent way from a series of water efficiencies studies.				
				Device	Typical Values			
				ecoBETA	17 l/device/day	23 l/prop/day		
				Save-A-Flush	6 l/device/day	8 l/prop/day		
				Showerhead	12 l/device/day	14 l/prop/day		
				Tap inserts	5 l/device/day	7 l/prop/day		
				Miracle tap	5 l/device/day	5 l/prop/day		
				Table G.3 best estimates for war	ter saving per device			
				The detailed analysis looking at the performance of ecoBETAs revealed that the above was numbers are means for each device. Furthermore, the saving obtained from each device we dependent upon the installation context. Properties with saturated (or 100%) fits (e.g. the of ecoBETAs fitted is the same as the number of toilets in the property) save more water per than less than saturated fits. A single saturated ecoBETA saves more per device than a saccomprising 3 ecoBETAs. This result is not entirely surprising. Correspondence analysis was also carried out on the devices, which showed that ecoBETA Save-A-Flushes were associated with high water savings. However, showerheads and tap it most closely associated with 2 types of user: those that behave as expected and save water, a who do not save water. This may be an indication that behaviour plays a large part in the was savings realised from shower and tap devices, and behaviour is much less of a factor for the devices.				

October 2015 22 of 65



Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Ref.	Title of the	Evaluation of the	Study / Description	Relevant information gained from the article
no.	article/document	author/journal		The best explanation for the differences between the water savings achieved in phases 1 and 4 compared with phases 2 and 3 are: the high intensity of ecoBETA fittings in phases 1 and 4, and the low proportion of ACORN 5 (the Hard Pressed) in phases 1 and 4.
1-10	Water Resources Management Plan for Sutton and East Surrey Water, 4 th annual review, June 2013 Page 40	Sutton and East Surrey Water is a water-only company and supply residents in East Surrey, and parts of West Sussex, west Kent and south London	Water resources management plans set out how companies intend to meet their customers' needs for water over the next 25 years while protecting and enhancing the environment.	For WCs, we have continued to distribute the ecoBETA dual flush kit to Raven Housing Trust to install in their properties whilst completing repair work. In addition, as part of the water audits carried out at non-households, adjustments are made those toilets found to be capable of being converted to dual flush. In the year, 57 ecoBETA devices were fitted, with an additional 202 toilets 'converted', in a total of 79 properties, providing savings of 0.007 Ml/d.
1-12	Effectiveness of Piggybacking Initiatives: A Scoping Study An Evidence Base project Final Report, May 2013 Authored by Dani Jordan, Waterwise and Charlotte McCormack, Consultant to Waterwise Pages 7, 15	Waterwise is an independent, not-for-profit NGO focused on decreasing water consumption in the UK and building the evidence base for large-scale water efficiency. As the leading authority on water efficiency in the UK, their aim is to reverse the upward trend in how much water is used at home and at work by working closely with the water industry, governments and regulators, manufacturers and retailers.	This report gathers together data and experiences from across the water industry, and for the first time examines how effective such water efficiency initiatives have been. Achievements in terms of homes visited, devices installed and water saved are scrutinised. In order to support future activities, recommendations and emerging best practice are outlined, based upon the successes and difficulties of the projects reviewed in this report.	Anglian Water - Enhanced Metering Project, Ipswich Trial A total of 1000 properties received water efficiency audits and 663 of those had devices fitted. Customers were recruited by the meter installers as well as through other methods such as stands in shopping centres. The retrofits occurred separately to any actual meter fitting visits as they were carried out by a different contractor. Meter readings were taken before and after fitting any retrofit devices and actual water savings measured, the number and type of devices installed was also recorded and questionnaires were collated (both a long and short version). Overall, where retrofitting took place water savings were measured as an average of 41.5 litres of water saved per property per day (a mean reduction in water use of 14.2%). The majority of these savings relate to the ecoBETA toilet retrofit device, shower flow regulators and replacement shower heads fitted within customers' properties. Thames Water & RE:NEW Thames are now commencing Phase 2 of the project which is targeting around 25,000 homes though not all will be as actively targeted with water-saving devices. Additionally, one supplier has offered to fit ecoBETA dual flush devices in properties for a small charge covering the time to do this. Overall Thames Water consider this partnership a very efficient use of resources, and successful in terms of the water savings made through it. Essex & Suffolk Water & RE:NEW Currently Essex & Suffolk Water is involved in a new phase of the project running in the London Borough of Havering. The project set-up is the same except that the delivery agent carrying out the home visits will in this phase be fitting ecoBETA devices and Essex & Suffolk Water will cover the

October 2015 23 of 65



Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Ref.	Title of the article/document	Evaluation of the author/journal	Study / Description	Relevant information gained from the article
		· ·		time costs of fitting these. It is expected that a further 1500 households will get devices fitted through this phase of the project.
1- 15	Thames Water Annual Review 2012-2013 Environment Agency Annual Review June 2013 Page 106	Thames Water is UK's largest water and wastewater services company	Annual Review	B: Retrofit devices A total of 2,041 WC devices have been assumed installed in 2012/13, all were installed via partner- ship projects. These devices were ecoBETAs and provided an assumed saving of 0.096 Ml/d. A total of 45,164 tap devices have been distributed via partnership projects and direct customer re- quests. These tap devices provided an assumed saving of 1.03 Ml/d. A total of 93,136 shower devices have been distributed via partnership projects and direct customer requests. These were a mix of water-saving showerheads, flow restrictor devices, shower timers and provided an assumed saving of 1.59 Ml/d.
1- 16	Sutton and East Surrey Water PLC Water Resources Management Plan 4 th annual review June 2013, Page 40	Sutton and East Surrey Water PLC is a water only company and supplies residents in East Surrey and parts of West Sussex, West Kent and West London	This report is Sutton and East Surrey Water Company's fourth annual review of its Final WRMP (March 2010), for submission to Ofwat and the Environment Agency.	Section B: Retrofit Devices For WCs, we have continued to distribute the ecoBETA dual flush kit to Raven Housing Trust to install in their properties whilst completing repair work. In addition, as part of the water audits carried out at non-households, adjustments are made those toilets found to be capable of being converted to dual flush. In the year, 57 ecoBETA devices were fitted, with an additional 202 toilets 'converted', in a total of 79 properties, providing savings of 0.007 Ml/d.
1-18	Waterwise Response to Energy Efficiency Partnership for Homes and DECC Call for Evidence on Green Deal – Costs and Benefits of Energy Efficiency Measures April 2011 Pages 2-3, 7-10	Waterwise is an independent, not-for-profit NGO focused on decreasing water consumption in the UK and building the evidence base for large-scale water efficiency. As the leading authority on water efficiency in the UK, their aim is to reverse the upward trend in how much water is used at home and at work by working closely with the water industry,	These figures are drawn from Waterwise's respected Evidence Base for Large-scale Water Efficiency, supported and cofunded by Defra, Ofwat, the Environment Agency and DCLG. All costs and benefits are based on hard evidence from Waterwise's Evidene Base for Large-scale	Table 1 summarises the payback times, and water and energy savings at household level, for including water efficiency measures in the Green Deal – further detail can be found on pages 7 to 10 and in Annex 2:

October 2015 24 of 65



Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Ref.	Title of the	Evaluation of the	Study / Description	Relevant information gained from the article				
no.	article/document	author/journal						
		governments and regu- lators, manufacturers and retailers.	Water Efficiency in Homes and draws on actual data from retrofitted homes in	Table 1 Measure	Scenario 1 – retrofit of showers and taps	Scenario 2 – retrofit of showers, taps and toilet		
			water company projects.	Cost of products per household	£27.50	£37.50		
				Installation time per household	20 mins	30 mins		
				Installation cost per household	£20	£30		
				Cost per scenario per household (products and installation)	£47.50	£67.50		
				Energy savings per year	300 kWh	300 kWh		
				Water savings per year	7.4m ³	15m ³		
				Energy bill savings per year	£26	£26		
				(metered) per year	£25	£51		
				Payback on energy	Within 3 rd year	Within 3 rd year		
				Payback on energy and water	Within 1 year	Within 1 year		
				(NPV) over 25 years are calcumake them more water-efficient	ulated. The two scenarios ar cient, and a retrofit of showe	e a retrofit of showers and taps to ers, taps and toilets. The calculation nario is framed from the customer's		

October 2015 25 of 65

eco**BETA**®

Title:

Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Ref.	Title of the article/document	Evaluation of the author/journal	Study / Description	Relevant information gained from the article	
				One third of the water used in the home is for flushing the toilet. Toilet conversion kits allow householders to convert their single-flush toilet into a dual-flush, saving around three buckets of water per day. One well-respected product in this area, which Waterwise and the water companies have used in thousands of homes, is the EcoBETA, which replaces the single-flush siphon in the toilet. In the Waterwise-led Tap into Savings partnership mentioned earlier, the EcoBETA proved very popular with residents. When the user holds the handle down it provides a full flush, but when the handle is released promptly, it provides a smaller flush, pre-set by the user. The ecoBETA insert could be adjusted to provide a single flush of 4 ½ litres which would be enough to dispose of all waste. The EcoBETA is easy to install, taking only five to ten minutes on most existing cistern water tanks. Other toilet retrofit products exist. A lifetime of ten years is assumed for efficient showers, tap inserts and toilet conversion kits.	
1-19	Planning effective water efficiency initiatives Learning from the Evidence Base, Save Water Swindon and Tap into Savings 2012	Waterwise and WWF Working together to promote water efficiency across the UK WWF is the world's leading independent conservation organisation, working in more than 100 countries to address issues from the survival of species and habitats to climate change, sustainable business and environmental education.	Drawing on lessons learnt from 2 large-scale water efficiency retrofit initiatives.	This short guide identifies 8 key elements to consider when planning a home visit programme in which water saving devices are fitted. The ecoBETA Siphon pictured on page 10 is widely used in connection with such retrofitting projects. Today, some areas of England are classified by the Environment Agency as seriously water-stressed. For this reason, the government made it clear in 2011 that they want to see water companies increase water efficiency projects across the country – not only in areas currently defined as water-stressed. In this connection it is essential to draw on the practical lessons of past water efficiency projects. This practical guide provides directions for those designing a water efficiency retrofitting project: http://www.waterwise.org.uk/data/resources/51/Planning-effective-water-efficiency-initiatives-Final.pdf . The reason is, that our patented, highly efficient and cost effective single button dual flush valves have won several international prizes for their water saving potential, design, operation and quality. Evidence based research supports that an average household can expect to save up to 50% of toilet flush water or up to 47 liters per day as confirmed by OFWAT (The Water Services Regulation Authority in England and Wales) without any discomfort whatsoever for the user	

October 2015 26 of 65



Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Ref.	Title of the	Evaluation of the	Study / Description	Relevant information gained from the article					
no.	article/document	author/journal							
1-20	Northumbrian Water Final Water Resources Management Plan 2014	Northumbrian Water and Essex & Suffolk Water are part of Northumbrian Water Limited, which is a member of Northumbrian Water Group (NWG)	This Final Water Resource Management Plan has been prepared in accordance with the Water Resources Planning Guideline issued by the Environment Agency, Defra, and Ofwat. The plan looks across the	5.2.2 Retrofit audit projects NWL began to offer home water audits to our domestic customers in 2010 based on the methodology developed by ESW. These projects involve a plumber attending an appointment at a customer's property to fit and/or deliver water-saving products that will improve the efficiency of the home. To promote behaviour change, the customer is engaged in conversation by the plumber and encouraged to spend time with them while the products are fitted. This allows the plumber to explain how the products work, but also ensures that behaviour change messages are conveyed effectively. The table below summarises the retrofit audit projects carried out in since 2010. More information about each of these projects is described in the sections that follow.					
			period from 2015 to 2040 starting from the	Project	Area	Year	No. audits	Average water saving (l/prop/d)	
			baseline position from	Water Saving Project	North Tyneside	2010/11	2012	29.10	
			2012/13 and includes	ecoFIT trial	Durham	2010/11	386	55.0	
			changes from the consultation on the draft	ecoFIT	Hougton Le Spring, Wearside	2012/13	2003	74.9	
				home water audit. ecoFI minimum cost by offerin conversion of a single flaterofit device. Only custofit audit. Those who website. Customers that explanatory factsheet an offer including the poter products to help screen to offered to customers as products to be fitted ecoBETA dual flush device Save-a-Flush Tap inserts Eco-Flow Tap Spray Aerated shower head Re-washering dripping taps	is initiative in our southern oped IT is a successful retrofit program customers the most water etush siphon toilet into a dual flustomers with toilet suitable for to did not were encouraged to rewere invited to take part in the dreply envelope. The factsheen tial to save water, money and those customers that would not part of this initiative are listed Products to be delivered Shower timer Water storing crystals Water storing trystals Water storing trystals Water butt Water butt	ramme that air fficient device ush toilet by i an ecoBETA equest water see project received contained in carbon. It also to be eligible to in the table be	ms to maximises. The project nstalling an ecto be installed saving devices ived an invitate information about take part. The	se water savings at t is centred on the coBETA dual flush I qualified for a full s from the NWL ion pack, out the products on e limitations of the	

October 2015 27 of 65



Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Ref.	Title of the	Evaluation of the	Study / Description	Relevant information gained from the article
no.	article/document	author/journal		
				Just over 380 domestic customers in Durham agreed to take part in the trial in 2011/12. Each property saved on average 55 l/prop/day, based on Ofwat assumptions, or 21,172 litres/day in total. The table below summarises the number of products that were fitted during the project.
				Product Quantity Fitted ecoBETA 285
				Save-a-Flush 10
				Aerated Shower head 129
				Eco-Flow Tap Spray 180
				Tap Inserts 77
				Table 5.2.2.2 Summary of products fitted and/or delivered through the ecoFIT trial.
				5.2.2.2 EcoFIT Wearside – Are you EcoFIT? Based on the success of the trial, NWL wrote to 15,000 customers in Houghton Le Spring, Wearside in autumn 2012 offering them the opportunity to have a qualified plumber attend their property to convert their existing single flush toilet to a dual flush toilet. Mouchel was appointed to deliver the ecoFIT programme in Houghton Le Spring, Wearside. They were responsible for recruiting customers, delivering and installing water saving products and collecting consumption data. The flow diagram below shows the process that NWL follow throughout home audit. During the appointment with the customer, the plumber offered to install other water saving devices for the home and garden (listed in table 5.2.2.3.2). As in previous projects, the customer was encouraged to accompany the plumber during the audit so that the plumber could provide advice and literature on how to save water as well as fully explaining the purpose of any device and its mode of savings.
				Initially, uptake by customers was slow (less than a 1000 audits) until NWL worked in partnership with a registered social landlord. NWL and the landlord wrote a joint letter to provide further information about the project and encourage them to take part. The project was also promoted by local housing officers. This resulted in 2,003 customers participating in the project with over 15,000 products being delivered and/or installed. This represents a 13.3% project take-up by customers. Of those customers audited, 93% had at least one ecoBETA fitted.
				Despite its success, relatively few complete sets of meter reads were obtained due to bad weather and problems on 'thunder Thursday' hampering attempts by the plumbers to obtain meter read. The table below summarises the key results from the project:

October 2015 28 of 65



Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Ref.	Title of the	Evaluation of the	Study / Description	Relevant information gained from th	e article	
no.	article/document	author/journal				
		-				
				Key Results		
				Total customers invited to participate	15,134	
				Applications received	2,006	
				Audits completed	2,003	
				Product	Quantity Fitted	
				ecoBETA	2163	
				Save-a-Flush	214	
				Aerated Shower head	577	
				Shower Inline regulator	72	
				Tap Inserts	2927	
				Eco-Flow Tap Spray	870	
				Shower timer	1705	
				Hose gun	1936	
				Water storing crystals	1810	
				Water butt (1901)	1438	
				Water butt (1001)	143	
				Table 5.2.3-3: Summary results from ecoFIT retr	ofit project	
				Working in partnership with registered project saved over 150,000 litres/day w property per day. NWL plan to adopt the to maximise water savings, but at least	rith each property achienis approach in the rem	
				5.2.5 Non-Household Customers 5.2.5.1 Schools Audit		
				as part of a pilot schools project. These in the project and all received water saw WC Ltd. carried out this work. <i>Water s</i> .	schools were chosen by ying products as a resulaving products on office readings were taken.	lt of their audits. Contractors, Aqualogic er included tap inserts, Save-a-flushes, 3 weeks prior to the audit, on the date of
				5.3 Water Efficiency Strategy for AM Partnership working	IP6	

October 2015 29 of 65



Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Ref.	Title of the	Evaluation of the	Study / Description	Relevant information	gained from the article				
no.	article/document	author/journal							
				water efficiency device This would include the possibly, the combisave in AMP6. NWL estimate that improvements are savings of 0.32 Ml/day with households and 0 estimated water savings savings have been factors.	scope to work with organizates when these organizations are consecuted. A water efficient are devise. Discussions are on the consecution of the above decreased. A supply of the saved from a sare based on evidence from the company's based of the planning horizon.	visit a custo showerhead agoing, but emand mana ed through t activity wi m NWL and	omer to install d, tap inserts, it is hoped the agement initial he delivery of th non-housel EWS project	energy e ecoflow to at a pilot tives will water effold custo s. The wa	fficiency devices. tap adaptor and could take place result in water ficiency activity omers. The ater efficiency
1-21	Essex & Suffolk Water ESW Final Water Resources Management Plan 2014 October 2014 Pages 213-217, 223-228, 236	Part of Northumbrian Water Group providing water in eastern England.	This document is Essex & Suffolk Water's (ESW) Final Water Resources Management Plan (WRMP). It forecasts water demand for the period 1 April 2015 to 31 March 2040 and documents how the Company plans to meet this demand.	Other Devices ESW understands the cess to water saving proposition to the cess to water saving to the cess to water saving to the cess to water storing crystals water storing cry	importance of offering a so roducts, whilst focusing on a change. A wide range of our via ESW's domestic and catrofit device atchen tap adaptor) kit efficiency products distributed by E	2010/13 713 935 915 31 2,904 10,249 8,681 1,905 8,220 1,021 15,130 89 15,168 469 Essex & Suffol ects carried of the state of the	ith customers s have been di retrofit audit. 200 2,3 3,4 10 2,3 9,7 10, 3,2 9,1 97 16, 20 0 70: k Water. * Note:	sto promistributed ss. 11/12 108 57 66 1 78 69 2269 65 35 4 2234 5 2012/13 fig	2012/13* 3,606 2,628 5,673 558 2,229 5,248 5,950 4,925 6,749 639 19,723 0 17,164 982 gures are estimated. her details on the
									(l/prop/d)

October 2015 30 of 65



Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Ref.	Title of the	Evaluation of the	Study / Description	Relevant information	gained from the article			
no.	article/document	author/journal						
				Water Saving Toolkit	Chelmsford, Essex	2006/07	1,073	13.85
				ecoBETA	Chelmsford, Essex	2006/07	708	31.38
				H2eco Phase 1	Chelmsford, Essex	2007/08	1,495	20.3
				H2eco Phase 2	Chelmsford, Essex	2008/09	1,439	6.7
				H2eco Phase 3	Chelmsford, Essex	2008/09	194	4.9
				H2eco Phase 4	Chelmsford, Essex	2009/10	600	27.8
				H2eco Phase 5	Wickford, Essex	2006/07	2,266	34.4*
				H2eco Phase 6	Basildon, Essex	2006/07	3,002	44.4
				ecoFIT trial	Westcliff-on-Sea and Ilford	2006/07	366	**
				H2eco Phase 7	Basildon, Essex	2006/07	3,002	28.88
				ecoFIT	Great Yarmouth	2006/07	2,552	48.2
				set of meter reads for H2eco	rofit projects carried out since 2006. Phase 5 due to adverse weather conceter reads due to adverse weather.			

October 2015 31 of 65

eco**BETA**®

Title:

Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Table 2: Awards, approvals and recognitions

Ref.	Name of award	Granted /	Description	Water saving and other relevant
no.	/ recognition	Managed by		information
2-02	UK WRAS approval 2005	Water Regulations Advisory Scheme (WRAS)	WRAS Approval Nos. 1203063 WRAS Approval No. 1307079 WRAS Approval No. 1206029	The WRAS assesses water fittings products to ensure that they do not waste, misuse, unduly consume or contaminate the water supply in accordance with UK Government regulations
2-03	UK ecoBETA is awarded the Waterwise Marque by Waterwise UK 2007	Waterwise is an independent, not-for-profit NGO focused on decreasing water consumption in the UK and building the evidence base for large-scale water efficiency in close cooperation with the water industry, governments and regulators, manufacturers and retailers.	Until autumn 2011, The Marque was awarded annually to products which reduce water wastage or raise the awareness of water efficiency. The Marque has since been replaced by a new labelling scheme, known as the Waterwise Recommended Checkmark. Gradually the Marque will be phased out, and replaced with the Checkmark. But though the label is changing, the Marque still denotes a product that meets stringest tests of water saving. Every product with the Marque is an "Award Winning Water Saver". Saves up 50% of water used to flush This device allows the consumers to convert their single flush toilet into a dual flush by attaching it onto a single-flush siphon. A preset half-flush is activated by depressing the flushing handle and letting go, whilst a full-flush is achieved by holding the flushing handle down. A higher level of efficiency is achieved as a conscious decision must be made to activate the large flush. This product won the Waterwise Marque in 2006 for its water efficiency.	 ecoBETA and ecoFLUSH awarded the Waterwise Recommended Checkmark for their water saving potential, design, operation and quality. Saves up 50% of water used to flush A preset half-flush is activated by depressing the flushing handle and letting go, whilst a full-flush is achieved by holding the flushing handle down. A higher level of efficiency is achieved as a conscious decision must be made to activate the large flush.

October 2015 32 of 65



Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Ref.	Name of award / recognition	Granted / Managed by	Description	Water saving and other relevant information
2-04	UK Confirmation on ecoBETA Waterwise Awards and Recommendatio n issued by Jacob Tompkins, Managing Director at Waterwise UK 7 February 2012	Waterwise is an independent, not-for-profit NGO focused on decreasing water consumption in the UK and building the evidence base for large-scale water efficiency in close cooperation with with the water industry, governments and regulators, manufacturers and retailers.	This is a letter to confirm that ecoBETA have received 2 awards from Waterwise. The ecoBETA siphon retrofit was awarded the Waterwise Marque in 2007 and the ecoBETA eco-FLUSH was awarded the Waterwise Marque in this award covers all variants of the eco-FLUSH (i.e. handle or button operated). The Waterwise Marque has now been renamed the Waterwise Recommended Checkmark and this has been retrospectively awarded to both products. The Marque and Checkmark assess products for their water saving potential, design, operation and quality. In addition to these awards the ecoBETA siphon retrofit has consistently shown high levels of water saving in domestic retrofit. The Waterwise Evidence Base is an ongoing research project supported by the UK government, water regulators and water companies, it assesses and evaluates all large-scale water efficiency trials and programmes in the UK, this work shows that the ecoBETA siphon is the most effective water efficiency retrofit device in terms of overall water saving. As you know Waterwise has worked with ecoBETA over the past 6 years and I would strongly recommend your products. I would be happy to provide a reference regarding ecoBETA for any future clients.	ecoBETA is acknowledged as a Waterwise Recommended Checkmark Product The Waterwise Evidence Base shows that the ecoBETA siphon is the most effective water efficiency retrofit device in terms of overall water saving.
2-05	UK E-mail from Jacob Tompkins, Managing Director at Waterwise UK February 2012		We have been discussing your new ecoBETA valve at Waterwise and as I have stated several time before we think that it has 2 main benefits firstly the fact that it doesn't leak and secondly the simplicity of the design. There are extensive problems in the UK with <u>leaking dual flush systems</u> and this is a major concern both for the water companies and for the retailers who have had lots of complaints, this problem is intensified in the UK due to the hardness of the water which affects traditional valve systems. The simplicity issue is also important as there is customer confusion over the various buttons in normal dual flush models and this can lead to double flushing.	 ecoBETA does not leak - leaking dual flush systems are a major concern for the water companies and retailers Simplicity of the design -dual flush models may lead to customer confusion and therefore the risk of double flushing

October 2015 33 of 65

Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Ref.	Name of award	Granted /	Description		Water saving and other relevant						
no.	/ recognition	Managed by			information						
2-06	UK ecoBETA was	UK Department for Environment Food & Rural Affairs	ecoBETA is listed on the ECA Water Technology Product List in the category Efficient Toilets -Retrofit WC Flushing Devices		Free installation of ecoBETA in businesses in the UK:						
	added to the ECA Water	(DEFRA) and HM	Product Name:	ecoBETA Siphon	ecoBETA is listed on the water technology list (WTL) as product that encourages						
	Technology	Revenue &	Ref:	3494	sustainable water use						
	Product List	Customs (HMRC) in partnership with	Model Number	Siphon3	According to the ECA scheme, businesses						
	1 February 2010	AEA Technology	Date Added	1st February 2010	can write off 100% of the cost against						
			Manufacturer	ecoBETA	taxable profits in the year of the purchase.						
			Technology	Efficient toilets							
			Sub-technology	Retrofit WC Flushing Devices							
			WS(WF)R Compliant	No							
			Country	United Kingdom							
			Type of device (replacement/modification)	Modification							
			Reduced Flush Volume	50%							
			I						Demonstration of compliance	Self declaration of conformity	
			ISO 9001 compliant	No							
			the cost of qualifying plant and machinery ag can bring significant financial savings and red This guide is mainly aimed at businesses that	and machinery. It lets businesses write off 100% of ainst taxable profits in the year of purchase. This duce business' impact on the environment. want to buy water efficient products, and the s. It is also relevant to organisations that are not							

October 2015 34 of 65



Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by:

HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Ref.	Name of award / recognition	Granted / Managed by	Description	Water saving and other relevant information
2-07	UK ecoBETA is installed by water companies free of charge	Planning effective water efficiency initiatives - June 2012 Evaluating Water Efficiency Retrofit Projects: An Example - May 2013	 Thames Water 2012 Essex & Suffolk 2012 Anglian Water 2013 Northumbrian Water (1-11) Portsmouth Water (1-04) A process description for planning and evaluating effective, water efficiency initiatives 	Free installation of ecoBETA in households, schools and public buildings is funded by water companies. On approval of their Water Resource Management Plans, the water companies are allocated funds by DEFRA to spend on projects covering water conservation / reduction of consumption. These plans are based on practical lessons of past water efficiency projects. Installation of ecoBETA is a part of this plan. Water-savings: 1. Up to 47 litres of water every day 2. 30 litres per day
2-08	DK ecoBETA acknowledged as Climate Partner in Aarhus, the 2nd largest city in Denmark 8 February 2012	Municipality of Aarhus – the 2 nd largest municipality in Denmark	The municipality of Aarhus has made climate partnership agreements with 42 Danish companies. The City Council is working closely with businesses, utility providers, universities, further education centres and other municipalities to develop new solutions to making towns and cities greener, and creating growth in the cleantech sector. Climate partnership agreements are business-driven and strategic agreements which hold great potential for business development and are at the same time As climate partner ecoBETA is to participate in development projects that can generate growth in cleantech and increase security of supply while reducing energy consumption and CO2 emissions in Aarhus.	ecoBETA is acknowledged as climate partner in Aarhus as test installations of their water-saving toilet flush system in several housing associations and a kindergarten in Aarhus have lead to excellent results.

October 2015 35 of 65



Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Ref. no.	Name of award / recognition	Granted / Managed by	Description	Water saving and other relevant information
2-09	UK SWIG Award 2013 issued to Essex & Suffolk Water Sustainable Water Industry Group Awards (SWIG) 2013 November 2013	Sustainable Water Industry Group SWIG was conceived in 2008 by a group who came together with the idea of applying some 'whole system thinking' to sustainable water management.	In November 2013 Essex & Suffolk won the SWIG Award 2013 for the H ₂ eco project helping customers to save money and be water efficient by fitting water saving devices in their homes. Products such as water butts, aerated shower heads and dual flush devices for toilets, were fitted free-of-charge to customers' homes with a qualified plumber on-hand to install the items and carry out a water efficiency survey of the property. Undertaking H2eco Phase 7 and 8 has resulted in total water savings of 140.95 m3 per day, which equates to an average measured water saving of 23.48 litres per property per day. The water savings reported here represent "actual" water savings calculated through the analyses of meter reads taken before the audit, on the date of the audit and following the audit. In total 44,426 products have been installed across the 2 phases including 2,.775 ecoBETAs fitted in 2,460 properties, 10,899 tap inserts installed across 4,761 properties and 1,934 showerheads installed in 1,774 properties.	Water saving of 23.48 litres per property per day Results of h2eco project ref. 1-08: Fitting of ecoBETA lead to the highest water savings = 48.3 l/property/day

October 2015 36 of 65



Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Table 3: Case Studies

	able 5: Case Studies									
Ref.	Title of the		Water saving and other relevant information							
no.	article/document	Relevant information gained from the articles	gained from the articles							
DENM	IARK									
3a-01	The Danish Ministry of Food Ministeriet for Fødevarer, 2009	Ministry of Food Replacement due to rising problems with dual flush valve with 2 buttons and thereby rising costs of repair and of continuously running water	Replacement of dual flush valve with 2 buttons with ecoBETA dual flush valve with 1 button due to continuous maintenance problems: • No maintenance cost • Reducing water wastage • Water savings							
3a-02	Town Hall Frederiksberg Rådhus, 2011	Replacement of all dual flush valves with 2 buttons with ecoBETA dual flush valves with 1 button	Replacement of dual flush valve with 2 buttons with ecoBETA dual flush valve with 1 button due to continuous maintenance problems:							
			Water savings of 47%No maintenance							
3a-03	Lyngby-Taarbæk Kommune 2011		• Annual water savings of 25%							
3a-04	Concert hall Musikhuset Esbjerg, 2011	Replacement of all dual flush valves with 2 buttons with ecoBETA dual flush valves with 1 button	Results after 3 years after replacement of all dual flush valves with 2 buttons with ecoBETA dual flush valves with 1 button:							
			Extraordinary water savings of 48%No maintenance at all							
3a-05	Elementary school Antvorskov Skole i Slagelse, 2012		Water savings from 17.6 to 44.7%							
3a-06	Elementary school Privatskolen Filipskolen Aalborg, 2012	Reduction in water consumption from 342 m3 to 170 m3	Water savings of 50%No repairsNo behavioral problems							
3a-07	Institutions in Silkeborg, 2013		 Water savings: Børnehuset Thorning 44% Kragelund Børnehave 31% Grauballe Børnegård 41% 							

October 2015 37 of 65



Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Ref. no.	Title of the article/document	Relevant information gained from the articles	Water saving and other relevant information gained from the articles
3a-08	Police Station Station City Halmtorvet, 2013	Replacement of all dual flush valves with 2 buttons in Gustavsberg toilets with ecoBETA dual flush valves with 1 button: In September, the consumption was 435.3 m3. In October, the consumption was 296.3 m3.	 Replacement of Gustavsberg dual flush/2 buttons with ecoBETA dual flush / 1 button Water-savings of 32%
3a-09	Elementary school Marievangsskolen in Slagelse December 2013	Toilet valves ensure schools considerable water savings Easy and intuitive operation: Although the valve allows small and large flushes, it only has 1 button. Or the big flush, the user has to hold down the button for approx. 4 seconds. In this way the user has to make an extra effort to use more water. Installation of the new valves in the 90 toilets at Marievangskolen has in total cost DKK 47,500. The school has in total approx. 960 pupils. Installation began at the end of May 2012 and already 1 year later, these installations have resulted in considerable savings on the water budget and released funds for other initiatives.	 Water-saving of 600.000 litres in 1 year Simple and intuitive operation – the user must make an extra effort to use more water.
3a-10	Seminarieskolen in Aalborg May 2012	We were convinced that dual flush toilets with 2 buttons were the right choice. However, we had a lot of maintenance problems due to continuous running water. These problems are now soved thanks to ecoBETA as they have installed their robust dual flush with only 1 button. The valve is simple and requires no maintenance. At our school we have retrofitted all our dual flush toilets with ecoBETA and gained watersavings of 25% on the toilets alone! The reason for these savings is that 90% of all flushes only require the small flush and with only 1 button it is impossible to choose the wrong one.	 Replacement of dual flush/2 buttons with ecoBETA dual flush/1 button No longer any maintenance problems Water-savings of 25% on toilets alone Reason: it is impossible to choose the wrong button

October 2015 38 of 65



Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

	Ref.	Title of the article/document	Relevant information gained fr	om the articles	Water saving and other relevant information gained from the articles
AMOUNT: Saving sat Anastasia Beach Hotel I November 2011 Without water saving devices, Meter reading 1 Meter reading 2 Days between readings Persondays for the period Total water consumption (stay) Water consumption (stay) Water consumption Meter reading 1 Meter reading 2 Days between readings Water consumption (stay) Water consumption (stay	INTE	RNATIONAL			
Percentage overall saving 49.1672371	3b-	CYPRUS Water Consumption Savings at Anastasia Beach Hotel	WATER SAVING DEVICES INSTALLED TYPE: WC D/F SIPHON TYPE: SINK SAVERS 2.5L TYPE: SHOWER SAVER 8L TYPE: TURBO SHOWER Mithout water saving devices. Meter reading 1 Meter reading 2 Days between readings Persondays for the period Total water consumption /day Water consumption /person/day With water saving devices Meter reading 1 Meter reading 2 Days between readings Persondays for the period Total water consumption /person/day With water saving devices Meter reading 1 Meter reading 2 Days between readings Persondays for the period Total water consumption Water consumption /day Water consumption /day Water consumption /person/day	UNT: 224 UNT: 224 Period 01-31/10/2007 0	After installation of ecoBETA, sink savers, shower savers and turbo showers: Overall water saving 49%, saving person/day 45%

October 2015 39 of 65



Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Ref. no.	Title of the article/document	Relevant information gained from the articles	Water saving and other relevant information gained from the articles
3b- 02	UK Waterwise Consulting June 2008 Brian Hooper BSc (Tech) MBE Managing Director Waterwise Consulting Ltd.	ecoBETA came to the UK about 2 years ago with a cistern device designed to convert a single flush toilet to dual flush. Up to 2001 all toilets in the UK were symphonic and with an estimated 25 million houses and 40 million plus toilets there was a market for a cistern device that would save water. The ecoBETA is a very cleverly designed device to fulfil this role. Toilets in the UK prior to 1991 used 9 litres of water which was reduced to 7.5 litres between 1991 and 2001. All these toilets could benefit from the installation of an ecoBETA. The device can be installed in less than half an hour and is not visible from the exterior of the toilet. It allows the user to deliver a short flush by depressing the handle and releasing it or a full flush by holding the handle down. In order to prepare for the UK market 3 important routes were followed: 1. It was submitted to WRAS (Water Regulations Advisory Scheme) for approval 2. It was entered for the prestigious Waterwise Marque award 3. A large trial was set up with Essex & Suffolk Water (one of the 23 UK water Companies) It went through rigorous testing to win WRAS approval and picked up the Waterwise Marque award in 2007. However, the trial was to take longer and the results from this trial are only now available in a report entitled "Chelmsford ecoBETA trial". Results on some 1,012 toilets fitted in 555 properties show savings of 31.4 litres/property/day. There were no problems with installation or consumer acceptance of this product. Many other UK Water Companies are already using ecoBETA's in their large scale water efficiency trials with further results being reported throughout 2008. It is the intention of some of these Companies to apply to OFWAT (the UK water regulator) for funding to allow the fitting of ecoBETA's to both social housing and private housing in the coming periodic review process. This process determines funding for the 5 year period 2010 to 2015. I believe that we will see a rapid spread of ecoBETA's in the UK as a result of this proc	 Installation takes less than an hour Not visible from the exterior Results on 1,012 toilets show water savings of 31.4 litres/property/day

October 2015 40 of 65



Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Ref. no.	Title of the article/document	Relevant information gained from the articles	Water saving and other relevant information gained from the articles
3b- 03	DUBAI SEBASTIEN KHANDJIAN - Technical Director Middle East Environmental Technologies – MEET	For your information, we have reached the following results in water saving: Work camp 27 % School 36 % Kindergarden 38% Medical clinic 20% That is only some of the measured results from all the ecoBETA retrofit installed, and after now more than 2 years we have not received any complaints.	Water savings: Work camp 27% School 36% Kindergarden 38% Medical clinic 20% Hardly any complaints after more than 2 years
3b- 04	EGYPT Reference letter from Mohammed Fadl I, Director of Rooms, Radisson SAS Resort El Quseir, El Quseir, Red Sea – Egypt 8 December 2010	I would like really to thank you for the very high quality products you have installed in our property, I am delighted to inform you that by installing ecoBETA water saving devices we reached about 25% saving in our monthly water consumption.	Water saving 25%

October 2015 41 of 65



Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Ref. no.	Title of the article/document	Relevant information gained from the articles	Water saving and other relevant information gained from the articles
3b- 05	SWEDEN Letter from Lennart Hägglund, energyLogic in Sweden 8 March 2011 energyLogic are impartial energy consultants in Sweden	Experiences from ecoBETA installations in Swedish hotels During the last years we have installed ecoBETA dual flush converters for toilets in several Swedish hotels. In those hotels also equipped with EnergyGuard Automatic Meter Reading, we have been able to measure the water consumption before and after ecoBETA installation. The results are: • 42% average saving on water consumption measured directly on toilets. • 15- 25% savings on total water consumption measured on main water gauge. • 20- 30% savings on total water consumption when ecoBETA is combined with water saving equipments also on showers (10 l/min) and wash basin (4 l/min). During refurbishment of one hotel we have also been able to compare the difference in water saving between installing ecoBETA in existing toilets and substituting existing toilets with new toilets with double flush controlled by double buttons. The results measured directly on the toilets were that savings with ecoBETA were 42% but savings with new double flush toilets were only 18 %. This difference is due to the fact that hotel guests tend to use the large flush always on the new toilets with double buttons. But on the toilets equipped with ecoBETA most flushes were small (pull or push button and let go). We often hear arguments from skeptical hotel technicians that the lower water consumption from ecoBETA could cause blocked sewage pipes, but we have had no such problems reported from any of the hotels where ecoBETA have been installed. All hotels where we have installed ecoBETA have been able to meet requirements regarding maximum water consumption per guest night from the Nordic eco label SVANEN. Based on our experiences with ecoBETA we recommend all hotels to convert their old toilets with ecoBETA instead of changing to new toilets with double flush.	 Water savings in Swedish hotels 42% average saving measured directly on toilets 15-25% measured on main water gauge 20-30% when ecoBETA is combined with water saving equipment on showers and washbasin ecoBETA compared to dual flush toilets: 42% water savings on toilets with ecoBETA 18% water savings on new installed double flush toilets This difference is due to the fact that hotel guests tend to use the large flush always on the new toilets with double buttons. But on the toilets equipped with ecoBETA most flushes were small (pull or push button and let go).

October 2015 42 of 65



Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Ref.	Title of the article/document	Relevant information gained from the articles	Water saving and other relevant information gained from the articles
3b- 06	PHILIPPINES Director of eco-sense, Patrick Joseph	1. ecoBETA is simple and Idiot proof 2. European Design and technology 3. Guaranteed Water and Money savings	 Simple and idiot proof European design and technology Guaranteed water and money savings
	HONG KONG Director of ecosense, Kevin K. Caldwell	 The ecoBETA valve is very intuitive to use. It is almost impossible to make a mistake thus wasting water. The valve has very few moving parts making it very reliable. Not a lot that can break. The USP is "plug & play". It can be installed by anyone, with no tools and without having to remove the toilet tank. 	 Intuitive to use Few moving parts makes it very reliable Easy installation
	CALIFORNIA, USA Consultant for water conservation, Mats Dannerstedt	1. I am fascinated by the simplicity of the valve 2. The ONLY true water saving flush valve 3. The only Flush Valve where even a non-engineer can install it in less than 5 minutes 4. Nothing Betta' than ecoBeta	Simple design Easy installation
	GERMANY Sven Karstensen, DiplIng. Geschäftsleitung, ecoBETA (Deutschland) UG & Co. KG	The simplicity of the ecoBETA valve is fascinating. At last a system that is ingenious, robust and intuitive. The simple operation makes watersaving enjoyable. Even the most careless users will now save water. User error is not possible. And it is not necessary to engage expensive plumbers.	 Simple and robust design Simple and intuitive operation – excludes the possibility of user error Requires nothing from the user Easy installation
	DUBAI SEBASTIEN KHANDJIAN - Technical Director Middle East Environmental Technologies - MEET	MEET Environmental Solutions has been working with ecoBETA for over 5 years in the GCC. Our confidence in these products is marked by our 5 year warranty to all our clients. In the 5 years we have been installing the ecoBETA dual flush we have not encountered a single problem with this high efficiency water saving device. Our clients are very pleased with the ease of use and the savings they are benefitting from.	 5 year warranty Have not encountered a single problem Clients are very pleased with the ease of use and the savings.

October 2015 43 of 65



Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Ref.	Title of the article/document	Relevant information gained from the articles	Water saving and other relevant information gained from the articles
3b- 07	UK Greening Business and Thames Water have joined forces to bring businesses across 6 London bor- oughs free water-sav- ing devices. September 2012	Greening Business, part funded by the European Union, is offering businesses 12 hours of free support and advice from qualified environmental specialists to help them reduce their costs and save money by 'going green'. The initiative will include: • Specialist environmental advisors will visit your business • They will undertake an assessment of the site to identify areas where cost savings could be made • Produce a bespoke action plan with a focus on low or no cost changes which can be made • Help your business to implement the actions Greening Business Operations Manager, Christina Parnell-Harris, says that "Excessive use of water or wastage is often identified as a significant cost to businesses which is why it is fantastic that we have been able to partner with Thames Water to provide businesses with free water-saving devices." Devices such as the Eco-Beta, which can be installed inside older toilet cisterns to retrofit them into dual flush systems, can save up to 47 litres of water every day. Tap inserts mix air with the water, giving the same effect while using a lower volume of water can save up to 36 litres per day. Water efficiency analyst, Heather Aitken from Thames Water says "These free water-saving devices can make a real difference to businesses looking to become more sustainable and reduce their utility bills."	Water savings up 47 litres per day These free water-saving devices can make a real difference to businesses looking to become more sustainable and reduce theiMr utility bills
3b- 08	UK Delphis Eco	The only chemical supplier to supply 100% green products. Water use was also not forgotten, with Delphis Eco installing 'ecoBETAs' in their toilets, to save up to 50% of water through flushing.	Water savings up to 50%
3b- 09	UK Cardiff Metropolitan University April 2012	As part of DCWW's (Dwr Cymru Welsh Water) water efficiency program, CMU (Cardiff Metropolitan University) was offered assistance in reducing their consumption in accommodation buildings. A number of basic water efficiency measures, aerating shower heads and dual flush toilets (ecoBETA), were carried out in order to reduce consumption. The cost was shared between DCWW and CMU, and evidence of savings was used to contribute towards regulatory targets and year on year 5% energy and water cost reduction targets for DCWW and CMU respectively. Data shows a saving of approximately 30% on consumption, which is 45 m3/week for the four buildings over two campus' which were upgraded. (198 rooms out of 727 were upgraded)	 Shows the impact of simple but effective water efficiency measures Water use reduced by 30% Savings on water alone expected to be 2200 m3/year (£5,500/year based on £2.50/m3).

October 2015 44 of 65



Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Ref.	Title of the article/document	Relevant information gained from the articles	Water saving and other relevant information gained from the articles		
3b- 10	UK The Atlanta Hotel, Eastbourne March 2007	The Atlanta Hotel received a water efficiency audit through the SEEDA funded Hospitality H2O project which ran from January - March 2007. This case study details the key actions that were identified as part of the audit and the water and cost savings that were achievable. Toilets - By installing Ecobeta retrofit dual flush devices, along with stickers placed on cisterns to inform users how to full flush and half flush, this will cut water use by at least 30% or 52m3 and save £124 per year.	ecoBETA retrofit dual flush devices will cut water use by at least 30% or 52 m3 and save GBP 124 per year.		
3b- 11	Cyprus Giorko Kindergarden July 2008	Installation of 20 ecoBETA siphon and 1 ecoBETA swing and tap flow reducers	 Comprehensive property water saving solution Over 50% average water saving per person per day 4,500 litres annual saving 		
3b- 12	SEWA - Sharjah Electricity and Water Authorities May 2015	ecoBETA water savings project analysis Projects sponsors: SEWA, Sharjah Municipality, ecoBETA, MEET Environmental Solutions	Water savings of 49.7%		
3b- 13	Statement from Brian Hooper, Waterwise Consulting Ltd. June 2015	Brian Hooper is a consultantand member of the Waterwise Team, dedicated to reducing Water Wastage in the UK. History of ecoBETA in the UK. About the UK trials. About the use of ecoBETA in the UK today.	 The device works very well in privat and social housing and in commercial premises The device is liked by customers – hardly any complaints The device shows consistent savings between 30-50 litres/property/day in private and social housing – saves over 47 litres/property/day Fitting takes 5-10 minutes Device is supplied free of charge from local water companies Altough supplied with other water saving devices, ecoBETA contributes to the majority of savings. Thames Water, Essex & Suffolk Water now plan to concentrate on the ecoBETA as its main product in all their water conservation projects. 		

October 2015 45 of 65



Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

3b-14 Final report after phase 1 of the ecoBETA pilot project in Abidjan, Côtes d'Ivoire

September 2015

Objectives of the pilot project:

- To investigate the level of water savings after implementation of ecoBETA devices in various sites.
- To understand the costs and benefits of efficient water management
- To observe behavioural changes after the raising awareness campaign

The committee in charge of the pilot project: ONEP, SODECI and AFWA

Criterias for selection of sites In total 4 sites were selected.

Sources of water wastage No. Site Monthly Permanent Total consumption staff toilets Commissariat du 7è 32606 40 5 Showers used by employees after work Arrondissement Water custs WC's Inspection de 16697 19 3 WC's l'Enseignement Primaire Cocidy II 26840 Direcction des 30 18 N/A Renseignements Généraux Direction Générale des 60 28 Taps 318986 **Impôts** Piping WC's

Total number of sites: 3 Total number of toilets: 49

Conclusions

38% savings on total water consumption corresponds to savings per year of approx. CFA 3 mill. (EURO 4570).

Lessons learned

More savings could be achieved by following these recommendations when fitting ecoBETA:

- 1) Leak repairs are made
- 2) Maintenance staff is trained
- 3) Fitting is accompanied by an awareness building programme.

October 2015 46 of 65



Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Table 4: Other relevant articles confirming the continued interest in the ecoBETA solutions

Ref.	Title of the	Author	Water saving and other relevant information gained from the articles
no.	article/document		
4-02	Design of a programme to retrofit energy and water efficiency into existing neighbourhoods of Ashford Funding, measures and pilot design November 2009 Pages 28, 30-33, 39	CEN Create a better environment Since its inception in 2001, Climate Energy has provided a complete range of energy efficiency solutions to Local Authorities, housing providers, utility companies, developers and homeowners across London and South East England. Its services span all aspects of energy efficiency, from consultancy and project management through to delivery at a local level via a national network of accredited assessors and installers.	Existing and emerging policies: What are the main policies that are driving the retrofit agenda and what features should the Ashford project aim to incorporate? Delivery models: How is retrofit currently being delivered and which components should the Ashford model include? Retrofitting: Which measures should the project aim to deliver directly and which should be delivered by partners? Funding: How is retrofit being funded and where are the main opportunities? Design: What are the recommended delivery options for the Ashford combined retrofit? 4.3.3. Water saving trials at the community scales In addition to these activities some water companies have been involved in more in-depth studies and field trials that have sought to tackle water efficiency at the community level. Key examples from the South East include the Preston Water Efficiency Initiative (Surrey) and the Savings on Tap retrofit project (Kent). 4.3.3.1. Preston Water Efficiency Initiative This project targeted tenants living in social housing rather than 'private' residents. The main focus of this project was bathroom refurbishment, retrofitting water efficiency devices to existing properties and an awareness campaign. This initiative was delivered in partnership with a local social housing provider as part of its refurbishment programme11 which aimed to refurbish bathrooms in 160 properties. The ongoing refurbishment programme was used as a vehicle for introducing water efficiency via the use of new dual flush toilets and low flow showers. A package of water efficient devices was also offered to each household and included aerated taps, leak alarms, water butts and fittings to convert toilets to dual-flush. The average cost per property for the main refurbishment programme was around £3,000 (cost per cubic metre £1.70). This led to a 25% saving in water consumption on average. The additional retrofit activities came in at £202 per property (£1.10 per cubic metre saved) and yielded 14% water savings. The uptake rate for th

October 2015 47 of 65



Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

alternatives. Community Action Teams: Teams of residents will be supported to help households reduce their in and to save money. Creating a supportive environment: The retrofits and Teams will combine to create a community in the norm. The projects will also be working with local groups, such as wildlife groups, social housi media. 4.3.2. Savings on Tap The 'Savings on Tap' trial in Ashford (Kent) is already well known to the Client Group. This project of Retrofit and tariff testing in new homes in Singleton (see Box 1). Retrofit into existing homes in Washford Farm. The Washford project is more relevant to the pilot design as it set out to deliver water efficiency saving existing households. The trial used a plumber to carry out basic surveys and carry out relevant installations plus trial used a plumber to carry out basic surveys and carry out relevant installations. Output (advice and installations) Output (advice and installations) Output (advice and installations) Total properties of the properties in target area and the properties of the prop	Ref.	Title of the	Author	Water saving and other re	Water saving and other relevant information gained from the articles						
Water efficiency retrofits: Water wasting fixtures and fittings in homes will be replaced or refitte alternatives. Community Action Teams: Teams of residents will be supported to help households reduce their in and to save money. Creating a supportive environment: The retrofits and Teams will combine to create a community in the norm. The projects will also be working with local groups, such as wildlife groups, social housi media. 4.3.3.2 Savings on Tap The 'Savings on Tap' trial in Ashford (Kent) is already well known to the Client Group. This project compared to the pilot design as it set out to deliver water efficiency saving existing households. The trial used a plumber to carry out basic surveys and carry out relevant installation. The Washford project is more relevant to the pilot design as it set out to deliver water efficiency saving existing households. The trial used a plumber to carry out basic surveys and carry out relevant installation. Output (advice and installations) Output (advice and installations) Total properties in larget area Savings on Tap No. properties surveyed 273 44% No. properties surveyed 273 44% No. properties outdoor devices provided 239 40% conBETA eistern device 115 21% New eistem 4 1 18 Tap insert 98 18% Hose gun 171 52% Hippo Other work (e.g. ball valve repairs, re-washering, re-seating, leak fix, new taps) 41 88% Garden information Jest 152 Meter pack Average installation time Table 7: Summary of Washford Farm water retrofit trial / Source: Kent County Council. The project achieved around 51% uptake in the target area which compared favourably against the 609 achieved up to 10 visits per day, a key factor in keeping the cost per house to around £60. In later stage creased to around £72 per house due to more complex problems being encountered. The trial was there to avoid overspending.	no.	article/document									
alternatives. Community Action Teams: Teams of residents will be supported to help households reduce their in and to save money. Creating a supportive environment: The retrofits and Teams will combine to create a community in the norm. The projects will also be working with local groups, such as wildlife groups, social housi media. 4.3.3.2. Savings on Tap The 'Savings on Tap' trial in Ashford (Kent) is already well known to the Client Group. This project of the Retrofit into existing in new homes in Singleton (see Box 1). Retrofit into existing homes in Washford Farm. The Washford project is more relevant to the pilot design as it set out to deliver water efficiency saving existing households. The trial used a plumber to carry out basic surveys and carry out relevant installation. Output (advice and installations) Output (advice and installations) Output (advice and installations) Total properties in target area No. properties surveyed No. properties surveyed No. properties surveyed No. properties output devices provided ecoBETA cistern device 115 21% New cistern 4 19% Tap insert 98 18% Hose gun 171 32% Hippo Other work (e.g. ball valve repairs, re-washering, re-seating, leak fix, new taps) 41 8% Garden information Other work (e.g. ball valve repairs, re-washering, re-seating, leak fix, new taps) 42 5% Average installation time Table 7: Summary of Washford Farm water retrofit trial / Source: Rent County Council. The project achieved around 51% update in the target area which compared favourably against the 609 achieved up to 10 visits per day, a key factor in keeping the cost per house to around £60. In later stage creased to around £72 per house due to more complex problems being encountered. The trial was there to avoid overspending.				The core activities are as fol	The core activities are as follows:						
Creating a supportive environment: The retrofits and Teams will combine to create a community in the norm. The projects will also be working with local groups, such as wildlife groups, social housi media. 4.3.3.2. Savings on Tap The 'Savings on Tap' trial in Ashford (Kent) is already well known to the Client Group. This project c Retrofit and tariff testing in new homes in Singleton (see Box 1). Retrofit into existing homes in Washford Farm. The Washford project is more relevant to the pilot design as it set out to deliver water efficiency saving existing households. The trial used a plumber to carry out basic surveys and carry out relevant installat 7). Output (advice and installations) Output (advice and installations) Total properties in target area No, properties universed No, properties universed No, properties outdoor devices provided 239 40% ccoBETA eistern device 115 21% New cistern 98 18% Hose gun 171 32% Hippo Other work (e.g. ball valve repairs, re-washering, re-seating, leak fix, new taps) 41 New Company Washford Farm water retrofit trial / Source: Kent County Council. The project achieved around 51% uptake in the target area which compared favourably against the 60% achieved up to 10 visits per day, a key factor in keeping the cost per house to around £60. In later stage creased to around £72 per house due to more complex problems being encountered. The trial was there to avoid overspending. Company Year Approach Uptake Measures/activities				alternatives.Community Action Team	 Water efficiency retrofits: Water wasting fixtures and fittings in homes will be replaced or refitted with Waterwise alternatives. Community Action Teams: Teams of residents will be supported to help households reduce their impact on the environment 						
The 'Savings on Tap' trial in Ashford (Kent) is already well known to the Client Group. This project c Retrofit and tariff testing in new homes in Singleton (see Box 1). Retrofit into existing homes in Washford Farm. The Washford project is more relevant to the pilot design as it set out to deliver water efficiency saving existing households. The trial used a plumber to carry out basic surveys and carry out relevant installat 7). Output (advice and installations)				Creating a supportive enter the norm. The projects w	• Creating a supportive environment: The retrofits and Teams will combine to create a community in which being water wise is the norm. The projects will also be working with local groups, such as wildlife groups, social housing providers and local						
Retrofit into existing homes in Washford Farm. The Washford project is more relevant to the pilot design as it set out to deliver water efficiency saving existing households. The trial used a plumber to carry out basic surveys and carry out relevant installat 7). Output (advice and installations) Output (sadvice and installations) No. properties in target area No. properties surveyed No. properties surveyed No. properties outdoor devices provided ecoBETA cistern device 1115 21% New cistern New cistern A 1 1% Tap insert 98 18% Hose gun 171 32% Hippo Other work (e.g. ball valve repairs, re-washering, re-seating, leak fix, new taps) Average installation time 1 hour n/a Table 7: Summary of Washford Farm water retrofit trial / Source: Kent County Council. The project achieved around 51% uptake in the target area which compared favourably against the 60% achieved up to 10 visits per day, a key factor in keeping the cost per house to around £60. In later stage creased to around £72 per house due to more complex problems being encountered. The trial was there to avoid overspending. Company Year Approach Uptake Measures/activities					Ashford	(Kent) is already we	ll known t	o the Client Group. This	s project con	sisted of 2 trials:	
existing households. The trial used a plumber to carry out basic surveys and carry out relevant installat 7). Output (advice and installations)							ee Box 1).				
Output (advice and installations)Qty / summaryUptakeTotal properties in target area539n/aNo. properties surveyed27344%No. properties — outdoor devices provided23940%ecoBETA cisten device111521%New cistern41%Tap insert9818%Hose gun17132%Hippo5811%Other work (e.g. ball valve repairs, re-washering, re-seating, leak fix, new taps)418%Garden information28152%Meter pack265%Average installation time1 hourn/aTable 7: Summary of Washford Farm water retrofit trial / Source: Kent County Council.The project achieved around 51% uptake in the target area which compared favourably against the 60% achieved up to 10 visits per day, a key factor in keeping the cost per house to around £60. In later stage creased to around £72 per house due to more complex problems being encountered. The trial was there to avoid overspending.CompanyYearApproachUptakeMeasures/activities				existing households. The tria	The Washford project is more relevant to the pilot design as it set out to deliver water efficiency savings (via simple devices) to existing households. The trial used a plumber to carry out basic surveys and carry out relevant installations and repairs (see Table						
Total properties in target area No. properties surveyed No. properties surveyed No. properties o- outdoor devices provided ecoBETA cistern device 115 21% New cistern New cistern 4 1% Tap insert 4 1% Hose gun 171 32% Hippo Other work (e.g. ball valve repairs, re-washering, re-seating, leak fix, new taps) Garden information 281 52% Meter pack Average installation time Table 7: Summary of Washford Farm water retrofit trial / Source: Kent County Council. The project achieved around 51% uptake in the target area which compared favourably against the 60% achieved up to 10 visits per day, a key factor in keeping the cost per house to around £60. In later stage creased to around £72 per house due to more complex problems being encountered. The trial was there to avoid overspending. Company Year Approach Uptake Measures/activities				7).							
No. properties surveyed No. properties – outdoor devices provided No. properties – outdoor devices provided 239 40% ecoBETA cistern device 115 21% New cistern 4 1% Tap insert 98 18% Hose gun 171 32% Hippo Other work (e.g. ball valve repairs, re-washering, re-seating, leak fix, new taps) 41 8% Garden information 281 52% Meter pack 26 5% Average installation time Table 7: Summary of Washford Farm water retrofit trial / Source: Kent County Council. The project achieved around 51% uptake in the target area which compared favourably against the 60% achieved up to 10 visits per day, a key factor in keeping the cost per house to around £60. In later stage creased to around £72 per house due to more complex problems being encountered. The trial was there to avoid overspending. Company Year Approach Uptake Measures/activities				Output (advice and installat	ions)			Qty / summary	Uptake]	
No. properties – outdoor devices provided ecoBETA cistern device New cistern New cistern Tap insert Hippo Other work (e.g. ball valve repairs, re-washering, re-seating, leak fix, new taps) Hippo Other work (e.g. ball valve repairs, re-washering, re-seating, leak fix, new taps) Meter pack Average installation time Table 7: Summary of Washford Farm water retrofit trial / Source: Kent County Council. The project achieved around 51% uptake in the target area which compared favourably against the 60% achieved up to 10 visits per day, a key factor in keeping the cost per house to around £60. In later stage creased to around £72 per house due to more complex problems being encountered. The trial was there to avoid overspending. Company Year Approach Uptake Measures/activities				Total properties in target area	•			539	n/a]	
ecoBETA cistern device 115 21% New cistern 4 1% Tap insert 98 18% Hose gun 171 32% Hippo 558 11% Other work (e.g. ball valve repairs, re-washering, re-seating, leak fix, new taps) 41 8% Garden information 281 52% Meter pack 26 5% Average installation time 1 hour n/a Table 7: Summary of Washford Farm water retrofit trial / Source: Kent County Council. The project achieved around 51% uptake in the target area which compared favourably against the 60% achieved up to 10 visits per day, a key factor in keeping the cost per house to around £60. In later stage creased to around £72 per house due to more complex problems being encountered. The trial was there to avoid overspending. Company Year Approach Uptake Measures/activities				No. properties surveyed				273	44%		
New cistern Tap insert Hose gun Hose gun Hippo Other work (e.g. ball valve repairs, re-washering, re-seating, leak fix, new taps) Hippo Sable 11% Other work (e.g. ball valve repairs, re-washering, re-seating, leak fix, new taps) Meter pack Average installation time Table 7: Summary of Washford Farm water retrofit trial / Source: Kent County Council. The project achieved around 51% uptake in the target area which compared favourably against the 60% achieved up to 10 visits per day, a key factor in keeping the cost per house to around £60. In later stage creased to around £72 per house due to more complex problems being encountered. The trial was there to avoid overspending. Company Year Approach Uptake Measures/activities					es provide	ed		239	40%		
Tap insert Hose gun Hippo Other work (e.g. ball valve repairs, re-washering, re-seating, leak fix, new taps) Meter pack Average installation time Table 7: Summary of Washford Farm water retrofit trial / Source: Kent County Council. The project achieved around 51% uptake in the target area which compared favourably against the 60% achieved up to 10 visits per day, a key factor in keeping the cost per house to around £60. In later stage creased to around £72 per house due to more complex problems being encountered. The trial was there to avoid overspending. Company Year Approach Uptake Measures/activities								115			
Hose gun Hippo Other work (e.g. ball valve repairs, re-washering, re-seating, leak fix, new taps) Other work (e.g. ball valve repairs, re-washering, re-seating, leak fix, new taps) 41 8% Garden information 281 52% Meter pack Average installation time Table 7: Summary of Washford Farm water retrofit trial / Source: Kent County Council. The project achieved around 51% uptake in the target area which compared favourably against the 60% achieved up to 10 visits per day, a key factor in keeping the cost per house to around £60. In later stage creased to around £72 per house due to more complex problems being encountered. The trial was there to avoid overspending. Company Year Approach Uptake Measures/activities									1%		
Hippo Other work (e.g. ball valve repairs, re-washering, re-seating, leak fix, new taps) Garden information 281 52% Meter pack Average installation time Table 7: Summary of Washford Farm water retrofit trial / Source: Kent County Council. The project achieved around 51% uptake in the target area which compared favourably against the 60% achieved up to 10 visits per day, a key factor in keeping the cost per house to around £60. In later stage creased to around £72 per house due to more complex problems being encountered. The trial was there to avoid overspending. Company Year Approach Uptake Measures/activities											
Other work (e.g. ball valve repairs, re-washering, re-seating, leak fix, new taps) Garden information 281 52% Meter pack 26 5% Average installation time Table 7: Summary of Washford Farm water retrofit trial / Source: Kent County Council. The project achieved around 51% uptake in the target area which compared favourably against the 60% achieved up to 10 visits per day, a key factor in keeping the cost per house to around £60. In later stage creased to around £72 per house due to more complex problems being encountered. The trial was there to avoid overspending. Company Year Approach Uptake Measures/activities											
Garden information 281 52% Meter pack 26 5% Average installation time 1 hour n/a Table 7: Summary of Washford Farm water retrofit trial / Source: Kent County Council. The project achieved around 51% uptake in the target area which compared favourably against the 60% achieved up to 10 visits per day, a key factor in keeping the cost per house to around £60. In later stage creased to around £72 per house due to more complex problems being encountered. The trial was there to avoid overspending. Company Year Approach Uptake Measures/activities											
Meter pack Average installation time Table 7: Summary of Washford Farm water retrofit trial / Source: Kent County Council. The project achieved around 51% uptake in the target area which compared favourably against the 60% achieved up to 10 visits per day, a key factor in keeping the cost per house to around £60. In later stage creased to around £72 per house due to more complex problems being encountered. The trial was there to avoid overspending. Company Year Approach Uptake Measures/activities					pairs, re-wa	ashering, re-seating, lea	k fix, new				
Average installation time Table 7: Summary of Washford Farm water retrofit trial / Source: Kent County Council. The project achieved around 51% uptake in the target area which compared favourably against the 60% achieved up to 10 visits per day, a key factor in keeping the cost per house to around £60. In later stage creased to around £72 per house due to more complex problems being encountered. The trial was there to avoid overspending. Company Year Approach Uptake Measures/activities										_	
Table 7: Summary of Washford Farm water retrofit trial / Source: Kent County Council. The project achieved around 51% uptake in the target area which compared favourably against the 60% achieved up to 10 visits per day, a key factor in keeping the cost per house to around £60. In later stage creased to around £72 per house due to more complex problems being encountered. The trial was there to avoid overspending. Company Year Approach Uptake Measures/activities											
The project achieved around 51% uptake in the target area which compared favourably against the 60% achieved up to 10 visits per day, a key factor in keeping the cost per house to around £60. In later stage creased to around £72 per house due to more complex problems being encountered. The trial was there to avoid overspending. Company Year Approach Uptake Measures/activities						C			n/a]	
achieved up to 10 visits per day, a key factor in keeping the cost per house to around £60. In later stage creased to around £72 per house due to more complex problems being encountered. The trial was there to avoid overspending. Company Year Approach Uptake Measures/activities				Table 7: Summary of Washford Far	m water reti	rofit trial / Source: Kent C	ounty Counc	11.			
creased to around £72 per house due to more complex problems being encountered. The trial was there to avoid overspending. Company Year Approach Uptake Measures/activities				The project achieved around	51% upta	ake in the target area	which con	npared favourably again	st the 60% ta	arget. The plumber	
to avoid overspending. Company Year Approach Uptake Measures/activities				achieved up to 10 visits per day, a key factor in keeping the cost per house to around £60. In later stages of the project costs in-							
to avoid overspending. Company Year Approach Uptake Measures/activities				creased to around £72 per house due to more complex problems being encountered. The trial was therefore stopped at this point							
				Company	Year	Approach	Uptake	Measures/activities			
Essex and Suffolk Water: 2006 Water audits 20% Aerated showerhead, Water butts, education Chelmsford washers				Essex and Suffolk Water:	2006	Water audits	•	Aerated showerhead, Wa	nter butts, ecoI	BETA, Tap inserts, Tap	

October 2015 48 of 65

eco**BETA**®

Title:

Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Ref.	Title of the	Author	Water saving and other relevant information gained from the articles					
no.	article/document							
			Essex and Suffolk Water: Chelmsford	2007	Dual flush study	19%	ecoBETA	
			Sutton and East Surrey Water: Preston	2007	Water efficiency initiative	58%	ecoBETA, Water butts, Shower timer, efficient washing machine, Dual flush	
			United Utilities: Warrington ? Home audits 77% ecoBETA, Save-a-Flush, Showerhead					
			Yorkshire Water	2007	Water saving trial	9%	Duoflush, ecoBETA, Save-a-Flush, Showerhead, Shower timer, Tap sprayer, Tap aerator, Hose gun	
			Severn Trent Water: Nottingham	2007	Water efficiency trial	8%	Save-a-Flush, Duoflush, ecoBETA, Showerhead, Tap aerators	
			Anglian Water: Ipswich	2007	Water audit trial	N=56	ecoBETA, Shower timer, Save-a-Flush, Tap Magic, Shower flow restrictor	
			Savings on Tap retrofit: Existing homes (Ashford)	2008/9	Water efficiency tariff trial	N=250	ecoBETA, low flush cistern, tap insert, hose gun, Hippo, literature, other work (e.g. ball valve repairs, re-washering)	
			Table 8: Summary of large scale water saving trials, audits and retrofit EXTRACT What is interesting is that the devices that save the most water, such as cistern devices and shower heads, are usually only offered					

What is interesting is that the devices that save the most water, such as cistern devices and shower heads, are usually only offered to consumers via a third party (e.g. www.savewatersavemoney.co.uk/; http://www.watergrouppromotions.co.uk/) and whilst some measures are sometimes discounted (e.g. water butts) most devices are charged for. It is not clear to what extent measures are being subsidised by the water companies (if at all).

Water Efficiency Measure	Average saving litres per property per day
Hose gun	1
Water butts	3
Shower timer	4
Shower flow restrictor	6
Tap washers	8
Tap insers and restrictors	10
Cistern displacement devices	11
Fixed external leaks	12
Showerheads	12
DuoFlush	16
Ecoflush	20
ecoBETA	21
Variflush	23
Efficient washing machine	27
Float valve repair	34
Dual flush toilet	103

Table 9: Summary water savings from commonly used measures in Ofwat evidence base / Source: Waterwise (2008); CEN calculations.

October 2015 49 of 65



Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Ref.	Title of the	Author	Water saving and other relevant info	Water saving and other relevant information gained from the articles					
no.	article/document								
			Ofwat has specified the measures that ca	nn be included under BSWE – Base Ser	vice Water Efficiency (see 4.3.5). Each measure				
					installation target (i.e. the water company needs				
			to achieve and confirm a minimum insta						
			to achieve and commin a minimum msta	in rate for each measure for it to count t	owards their target).				
			Table 40 Allewalds are served as DOWE						
			Table 10: Allowable measures under BSWE	Saving (litres/day/property)	1				
			Save-a-Flush	Saving (intes/day/property)	-				
			Hippo	2.5	-				
			Water butt	6 fills/year	-				
			Varief buit	23	-				
			Ecoflush	23	-				
			EcoBETA	23	-				
			Dudley turbo	23	N/D /				
			Interflush	23	<i>NB!</i>				
			Tap inserts	16	-				
			Tap re-washering	12	-				
			Miracle tap	14	1				
			Retrofit push tap	30	-				
			Challis aerated head	29					
			Shower timers	5					
			Water saving crystals/gel	0.1					
			Hose trigger/spray gun	2					
			Self audits/welcome packs	10					
			Source: Ofwat: (2008).	-	-				
			771 . 1 . 111 1. 1						
					s would require South East to partner with a man-				
					heads, 36,552 cistern devices). Obviously many				
1			homes have more than one bathroom/toi	let/shower and as such these crude calc	ulations are 'worst case scenario'.				

October 2015 50 of 65



Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Ref.	Title of the	Author	Water saving and othe	er relevant information	n gained from the articles	
no.	article/document					
			Table 12: Single WEM scen		ty devices required to meet target	
			Water Efficiency Measure	Average saving litres per property per day	No. measures required to meet BSWE target	
			Save-a-Flush	1	840,000	
			Hippo	2.5	336,000	
			Variflush	23	36,522	
			Ecoflush	23	36,522	
			EcoBETA Duelles turbe	23	36,522	NB!
			Dudley turbo Interflush	23 23	36,522 36,522	
			Tap inserts	16	52,500	
			Tap re-washering	12	70,000	
			Miracle tap	14	60,000	
			Retrofit push tap	30	28,000	
			Challis aerated head	29	28,966	
			Shower timers	5	168,000	
			Water saving crystals/gel	0.1	8,400,000	
			Hose trigger/spray gun Self audits/welcome packs	10	420,000 84,000	
			Source: Ofwat (2008); CEN calcula			
			normally be used.			
4-03	Veolia Water Central Water Resources Management Plan Main Report March 2010 Page 232	Veolia Water Central (formerly Three Valleys Water) was a privately owned company supplying water to Hertfordshire and parts of Surrey, North London and Bedfordshire, in England. It was owned by Veolia Environnement, a French company with international interests in the water, waste management, energy and transportation sectors. Veolia Water Central was sold along with its sister companies Veolia Water Southeast and Veolia Water East to Morgan Stanley and M&G Investments in 2012. The 3 companies were	with the water they need the condition of our river in terms of the standard of ance from the Environme 10.7 Water Efficiency U The table below outlines	over the next 25 years. rs and groundwater, pre of the services we provent Agency2. Uncertainties the risks and uncertain rove the reliability, sustance base for our next pl Water sa Offer dis ter efficie Custome around th	We consider a wide range of essures of housing and popular ide. Our Plan is prepared in a ties associated with water efficianiability and repeatability of an. It is associated with water efficianiability and repeatability of an. It is associated with water efficianiability of an. It is associated with water efficianiability of an. It is associated with water efficianiability of an. It is associated with water efficient devices, such as taps, Ecolors can replace inefficient device home more attractive and in will depend on the number of an analysis.	o take to ensure that we can supply our customers of factors such as climate change, changes in lifestyle, ation changes, and our customers' expectations of us occordance with the relevant Regulations1 and guid-liciency options considered for the least cost plan. If demand management options in line with the desidy for purchasing water saving devices as to customers of VWC towards the purchase of wabeta dual toilet flush, aerated shower heads etc. It is a discounted price, making water saving more cost effective for home owners. Actual Water devices installed

October 2015 51 of 65



Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Ref.	Title of the	Author	Water saving and other relevant information gained from the articles
no.	article/document		
		merged to form <u>Affinity</u> Water on 1 October 2012.	Confidence in benefits: High
		water on a October 2012.	Description of risks and uncertainties: Risk of not undertaking - may fail to fulfil statutory duty. Uncertainty of working with a manufacturer or supplier to support discounted products.
4- 04	Waterwise Press Release Waterwise report shows potential water savings of 25% to help meet the challenges of climate change March 2010	Waterwise is an independent, not-for-profit NGO focused on decreasing water consumption in the UK and building the evidence base for large-scale water efficiency in close cooperation with the water industry, governments and regulators, manufacturers and retailers	EXTRACT The report highlights that water savings of, on average, 42 litres per property per day (around 15%) are possible by installing a range of water-efficient products in homes and through encouraging customers to make behaviour changes. This is good news for householders on a water meter who could potentially reduce their water bills by £44 a year through making their existing water-using home devices such as toilets, showers and taps more water efficient. It will also help all of us meet the challenges of climate change — we know that in coming years there will be less water and more people in the UK, and some areas of England are already classified as seriously water-stressed, so less water will need to go further. These results come from Waterwise's analysis of 9 water efficiency projects carried out by Anglian Water, Severn Trent Water, South West Water, Sutton and East Surrey Water, Thames Water, United Utilities, Wessex Water and Yorkshire Water. The projects involved fitting a range of water-saving devices in thousands of customers' homes and monitoring the savings. The devices tested ranged from aerated showerheads to kits to convert toilets to a lower-flush, or a more water-efficient dual-flush, and from water butts to encourage rainwater use in the garden to shower timers to help people behave in a more water-efficient way. The savings highlighted in the report indicate that reducing water demand through water-efficiency measures is a cost-effective tool in water resource management and can offer benefits for everyone. Water companies in England and Wales have targets to reduce water consumption; energy and water companies have targets to reduce carbon emissions; local authorities want to reduce their overall environmental impact; and individuals want to lead a more sustainable lifestyle. In addition, there are government plans for every home in Britain to receive an energy-efficiency visit in the next twenty years, and it makes sense to include water efficiency in that.
4- 05	The Plan Water Supply Project – Dublin Region Appendix A October 2010 Page 78	Veolia Water Ireland was established in 2004 reinforcing our position as a leader in water and wastewater solutions to local authorities and industry in Ireland. We currently employ 180 people at offices in Kilkenny, Dublin and on over 30 operations sites countrywide.	 1.1 WATER SUPPLY NEEDS – SHORT TO MEDIUM TERM During the past 10–15 years, the major socio-economic development in the Dublin Region has significantly increased demand for water. This demand has largely been met by a major programme of leakage management and water conservation, which has reduced distribution system losses from the order of 45% in the late 1990's to under 30% today. Demand has been met within an ongoing knife edge 'supply – demand balance' operational regime as there is no spare capacity (headroom) in the supply system. Whilst operating with little or no headroom, future short to medium term demand growth can continue to be met by sustained leakage management and water conservation initiatives (e.g. domestic metering), in combination with the expansion of Ballymore Eustace and Leixlip water treatment plants to their sustainable limits. The scale of increased water availability from these initiatives, however, will at best maintain the status quo (up to approx. 2020) taking account of the increasing short term water demand growth in the Region. 1.2 WATER SUPPLY NEEDS – MEDIUM TO LONG TERM

October 2015 52 of 65



Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Ref.	Title of the	Author	Water saving and other relev	ant informa	tion gained f	rom the artic	les		
no.	article/document								
			The National and Local Author key long-term project which co future water-supply needs are of	uld take up to	10 years to r	ealise. Becaus	se of this long	timescale, it is i	
				Appendices As the great majority of existing houses have flush volumes higher than the 6 litre model there are 3 ways in which existing models may have their flush volumes reduced depending on the model and existing flush capacity. These are:					
			Water Saver (Irish Device) flush toilet that allows it to	a. Fit a retrofit device (Figure 2.12) to convert the existing cistern to dual flush or controlled interrupted flush e.g. "Mecon Water Saver (Irish Device)" or "ecoBETA". The variable flush retrofit involves fitting a small device to an existing, single flush toilet that allows it to flush with different amounts of water. Evidence from large-scale studies suggests that implementing this can bring noticeable savings.					
			1) Fit a complete replacement	cistern/toilet	to convert to	dual or low si	ngle flush; or,		
			2) Fit a cistern displacement d	evice (refer F	igure 2.11) w	hich reduces t	he flush volun	ne.	
			Generally, options 1) or 2) are a essential.	felt to be more	e permanent a	and satisfactor	y than option	3) assuming all a	are fitted correctly which is
			For valve operated cisterns, a c	heck should b	e made for le	aks using eith	er a dye or dry	paper test	
4-	Securing London's	Mayor of London		Change to m ³ year	Change to water cost	Change to energy cost	Change to total cost	Change to kg CO ₂ year	Notes
06	Water Future The Mayor's Water Strategy 2011		Baseline: Standard London house with high flow mixer shower	0	0	0	0	0	Weekly: 5 showers and 2 baths per person. 10 litre toilet.
	Page 53		Scenario 1 Retrofit: Showerheads, taps, cistern insert	18% less	18% less	24% less	21% less	24% less	Showerhead 11 litres per minute for 7 minutes, tap aerators and ecoBETA toilet insert
			Scenario 2 Behaviour changes: Replace bath/long shower with short shower	22% less	22% less	8% less	25% less	28% less	5 minute shower instead of daily bath or long shower
			Scenario 3 Retrofit and behaviour changes combining Scenarios 1 and 2	44% less	44% less	47% less	55% less	47% less	Shower, toilet and tap retrofits. 5 minute showers
			Table 3.1 Reduced household water us	e, cost and carbo	n emissions thro	ugh retrofits and b	oehaviour changes	3	
			* Based on the average Thames Water	bill for water and	l sewerage service	ces in 2008/09. Ca	alculation based o	n Energy Saving Tr	ust data

October 2015 53 of 65



Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Ref.	Title of the	Author	Water saving and other relevant information gained from the articles
no.	article/document		
			Table 3.1 shows the potential savings in water usage, water and energy costs and carbon emissions that can be achieved through the introduction of various water saving devices and changes in behaviour.
4-07	Energy Saving Trust Green Deal Guidance for the Water Sector November 2012 Page 17	The Energy Saving Trust gives impartial, accurate and independent advice to communities and households on how to reduce carbon emissions, how to use water more sustainably and how to save money on energy bills. Waterwise is an independent, not-for-profit NGO focused on decreasing water consumption in the UK and building the evidence base for large-scale water efficiency in close cooperation with with the water industry, governments and regulators, manufacturers and retailers.	This guidance has been developed to help increase and simplify the delivery of joint energy and water efficiency programmes, through partnership working between water companies and energy efficiency programme providers. This includes Green Deal, ECO and other local authority energy efficiency/fuel poverty-led in-home schemes. Toilet conversion kits The devices: Toilet conversion kits usually involve the installation of a simple device converting the cistern to a dual-flush mechanism. These can reduce the original full flush volumes by up to 50% per flush, while retaining the existing siphon, and in some cases handle. Half-flush volumes can be adjusted. Several well-tested dual-flush conversion products are currently available. Their installation takes up to ten minutes on most existing cistern water tanks. Existing programmes have highlighted the importance of installer training on toilet conversion devices. Positives: Dual-flush conversion kits have the potential to save the most water. Some studies report high customer satisfaction with the commonly-used products (such as ecoBETA). Incompatibility: Dual-flush conversion will only suit older single-flush toilets with 7.5 litre or more cisterns. There is a risk of householders practicing double flushing due to either insufficient water to clear the pan, or users simply not being accustomed to the lower flush volume. Provision of advice on correct usage at time of installation is important.
4- 08	Water Performance of Buildings European Commission, DG Environment, November 2011 Water UK response Date of submission: 8 February 2012, Page 7	Water UK is the industry association that represents UK statutory water supply and wastewater companies at national and European level.	This paper presents some good ideas on incentives, educational campaigns, mandatory labelling and targets, and many of which water companies across England and Wales are already working on. We have annual water efficiency targets set by Ofwat (the economic regulator for England and Wales) for each company, which are currently achieved using some of the methods presented in the paper. Question 16 We think that there is potential for water saving in buildings from water using products. There are simple retrofit measures to existing products (for example, save a flush, and Ecobeta for WCs, tap inserts, water efficient showerheads) which will reduce consumption. Most of these measures can be installed at the same time so there is no need to prioritise these measures. We think these are only effective when combined with behaviour changes and awareness raising.

October 2015 54 of 65



Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Ref.	Title of the	Author	Water saving and other relevant information gained from the articles
no.	article/document		
4- 09	Southern Water Revised Draft Water Resources Management Plan – Appendices to	Southern Water is responsible for water and wastewater services for Kent, Sussex, Hampshire	During AMP5, Southern Water has run an extensive programme to promote water efficiency through the provision of free advice on saving water at home, distributing free save-a-flush bags and offering discounts on a range of water-saving products such as water butts, low-flow showerheads, tap aerators, shower regulators, shower timers, and water saving crystals for gardens. All these activities are expected to continue as part of 'baseline water efficiency' through the planning period.
	OVERALL STRATEGY FOR 2010-2015 PERIOD AND BEYOND	and the Isle of Wight	In addition, a set of 'enhanced' water efficiency options involving water audits and retrofits was developed, to be implemented should they be selected as cost effective options in preference to resource development options in investment modelling. Savings associated with retrofit devices are based on the figures that were available.
			Home audits with retrofits Southern Water currently offers home audits to vulnerable customers, i.e. customers who may face affordability issues in paying their bills once they are switched to a metered basis. This option is a variation of the existing scheme and is not limited to vulnerable customers only. It involves not only offering audits but actually retro-fitting devices including 1 ecoBETA flush system, 2 tap inserts and 1 low flow showerhead. The programme will require screening of households to ensure that these devices can be fitted and a target of 1,000 properties per year has been set. This option is estimated to save over 100 litres/property/day based on the following assumptions:
			 each household will have an occupancy of 2.4 (the average Southern Water household occupancy); each ecoBETA will deliver a saving of 3 litres/flush the average flush frequency is set at 5 flushes/person/day each tap insert will save 18 litres/property/day each low flow shower will save 30 litres/property/day
			School water audits This option proposes to offer water audits to 50 schools per year to <i>include retrofitting at least 5 ecoBETA systems</i> , 10 tap aerators and 10 urinals. The occupancy of each school is assumed to be 400. Savings associated with the ecoBETA system and tap aerators per use are the same as in the case of home audits; however daily flushing frequency per person is assumed to be 2. Retrofitted urinals are assumed to save 120 litres/day. In total, the option is estimated to reduce daily consumption by over 13,000 litres per school.
			Water audits for Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) This option will offer water audits and retrofits to SMEs. In order to calculate savings, it is assumed that on average 5 ecoBETA systems and 10 tap aerators can be installed per SME and the average occupancy will be 50. This option is estimated to save over 3,000 litres/property/day.
			Water audits for large businesses

October 2015 55 of 65



Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Ref.	Title of the	Author	Water saving and other relevant information gained from the articles
no.	article/document		
			This option will target large businesses with an average occupancy of 500 and will involve retrofitting at least 100 devices <i>including 25 ecoBETA systems</i> , 40 tap aerators, 25 urinals and 10 low-flow showerheads. The saving per device is the same as above but a daily flushing frequency of 2 per person and 10 showers per day are assumed. For each business, this option is estimated to save about 79,000 litres per day. The target is set at 5 businesses per year.
4-10	Anglian Water Presentation by Water Efficiency Manager 2013	Anglian Water is a water company operating in the East of England	 Free devices installed include ecoBETA flushing device. Why is Anglian Water doing this for free? Leakage rates are good Looking for other ways to save water To help us manage demand and maintain the balance of water used for homes, businesses and for the environment in our region Underpinning growth for up to one million new homes by 2035 Tackling climate change Government target to reduce average daily water use from 150 litres to 130 litres

October 2015 56 of 65

eco**BETA**®

Title:

Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Ref.	Title of the article/document	Author	Water sa	aving and	l other relevant informat	ion gained	from the	articles														
4-11	Strategic Environmental Assessment of Draft Water Resources Management Plan Environmental Report April 2013 Pages 204 and 208	Assessment of Draft Water Resources Management Plan Environmental Report April 2013 AMEC Environment & Infrastructure UK Limited Dŵr Cymru Welsh Water (Welsh Water) provides water services to customers in much of Wales and parts of Herefordshire in	5 year ba Assessm 20 water of each of	25 years in asis. ent of the efficiency of the feasi	a way that is economically Water Efficiency Feasible	y, socially a le Options ere considers is shown	across all ered as fear in Table 4	nmentally WRZs sible option	sustainable	f supply will be maintained over the e. The WRMPs are reviewed on a rolling or all resource zones. A brief description												
			water companies in England and Wales, there is a statutory requirement for Welsh Water to prepare, maintain and publish a	water companies in England and Wales, there is a statutory requirement for Welsh Water to prepare, maintain and publish a	water companies in England and Wales, there is a statutory requirement for Welsh Water to prepare, maintain and publish a	water companies in England and Wales, there is a statutory requirement for Welsh Water to prepare, maintain and publish a	water companies in England and Wales, there is a statutory requirement for Welsh Water to prepare, maintain and publish a	water companies in England and Wales, there is a statutory requirement for Welsh Water to prepare, maintain and publish a	water companies in England and Wales, there is a statutory requirement for Welsh Water to prepare, maintain and publish a	England and Wales, there is a statutory requirement for Welsh Water to prepare, maintain and publish a	water companies in England and Wales, there is a statutory requirement for Welsh Water to prepare, maintain and publish a	England and Wales, there is a statutory requirement for Welsh Water to prepare, maintain and publish a	England and Wales, there is a statutory requirement for Welsh Water to prepare, maintain and publish a	England and Wales, there is a statutory requirement for Welsh Water to prepare, maintain and publish a	Ref	Option	Description	Saving per Household in Year 1 from Unmeasured Households MI/d	Saving per Household in Year 1 from Measured Households MI/d	Average Annual Saving per Household from Unmeasured Households MI/d	Average Annual Saving per Household from Measured Households MI/d	
			WE002	Low Flow Shower Retrofit	DCWW would supply replacement showerheads for customers to fit themselves. Aerators, flow restrictors and in-line	0.040	0.061	0.036	0.046													
															WE223	Tap Flow Regulation	regulators would be posted to householders to fit themselves.					
														WE007 Toilet Va	Household Toilet Variable Flush Retrofit	DCWW fitters would supply and fit Ecobeta variable flush systems into existing cistems.	0.040	0.061	0.038	0.060	NB!!!	
						WE008	Household Toilet Cistern Displacement Device	Hippo or other cistern displacement device to reduce volume of water in a cistern, distributed by post for householders to fit.	0.046	0.055	0.040	0.050										
			WE019	Household Audits and Fit	This option would comprise a mail short to inform customers, and for those who want to take up the offer, a visit from DCWW staff to assess water consumption and to fit a series of water saving devices including low flow shower heads, tap flow regulators, variable flush systems for toilets and trigger heads for hosepipes.	0.043	0.058	0.039	0.059													
			WE019A	Household Audits and Fit (Collaboration)	This option would comprise a mail short to inform customers, and for those who want to take up the offer, a visit from staff from DCWW and its partners (gas companies, housing companies and so on) to assess water consumption and to fit a series of water saving devices including low flow shower heads, tap flow regulators, variable flush systems for toilets and trigger heads for hosepipes.	0.000	0.100	0.000	0.100													
			WE020	Household Education	Provision of leaflet on the efficient use of water.	0.003	0.008	0.002	0.002													
															WE025	Household Water Butts	DCWW provision of water butts for oustomers to collect from depot and to fit themselves.	0.062	0.038	0.021	0.012	

October 2015 57 of 65



Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Ref.	Title of the article/document	Author	Water saving	Water saving and other relevant information gained from the articles				
no.	article/document		Table 4.18	Carbon Amounts Re	leased as a Result of Implementing and Operating Wat	er Efficiency Me	asures	
			Ref	Option	Description	Capital Carbon (tonnes CO2e) - Implementati on	Capital Carbon (tonnes CO2e) – Operation	
			WE002	Low Flow Shower Retrofit	DCWW would supply replacement showerheads for customers to fit themselves.	38.47	-13.57	
			WE223	Household Tap Flow Regulation	Aerators, flow restrictors and in-line regulators posted to householders to fit themselves.	31.61	-13.59	
			WE007	Household Toilet Variable Flush Retrofit	DCWW fitters supply and fit Ecobeta variable flush systems into existing cisterns.	46.79	-13.57	NB!
			WE008	Household Toilet Cistern Displacement Device	Hippo or other cistern displacement device to reduce volume of water in a cistern, distributed by post for householders to fit.	32.54	-13.56	
			WE019	Household Audits and Fit	This option would comprise a mail short to inform customers, and for those who want to take up the offer, a visit from DCWW staff to assess water consumption and to fit a series of water saving devices including low flow shower heads, tap flow regulators, variable flush systems for toilets and trigger heads for hosepipes.	60.43	-13.42	
			WE019A	Household Audits and Fit (Collaboration)	This option would comprise a mail short to inform customers, and for those who want to take up the offer, a visit from staff from DCWW and its partners (other utility companies, housing associations etc) to assess water consumption and to fit a series of water saving devices including low flow shower heads, tap flow regulators, variable flush systems for toilets and trigger heads for hosepipes.	61.59	-13.60	
			WE020	Household Education	Provision of leaflet on the efficient use of water.	504.08	-14.37	

October 2015 58 of 65



Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Ref.	Title of the	Author	Water saving and other relevant information gained from the articles
no.	article/document		
4-12	Demand management bulletin, Series 2, Issue 6, April 2013 Pages 5	Environment Agency is an Executive Non-departmental Public Body responsible to the Secretary of State for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs	Essex and Suffolk Water ecoFIT – saving customer's litres is Essex & Suffolk Water's latest water saving initiative. It has been delivered to over 2,500 customers in the Great Yarmouth area of Norfolk. The underpinning aim of ecoFIT is to maximise savings at minimum cost by offering customers the most water efficient devices such as the ecoBETA dual flush retrofit siphon. ecoFIT adopted the Hzeco process, which has been developed and improved by Essex & Suffolk Water over many years. Customers that had a toilet suitable for an ecoBETA to be installed qualified for a full retrofit audit, while those who did not were encouraged to request water saving devices from the company website. In addition to the ecoBETA, products such as aerated showerheads, tap inserts, Eco-Flow-Tap Sprays, water butts and trigger hose guns were offered and installed (where suitable) for free during the audit by a qualified plumber. Over 12,400 products have been fitted and/or delivered to date. The ecoFIT project has proved to be Essex and Suffolk Water's most successful by far in demonstrating genuine water savings and best practise. Key results are as follows: • average OFWAT assumed saving of 96.7 l/prop/day • measured saving of 43.72 l/prop/day (calculated using meter reads) • ecoBETA's fitted in 81% of properties. Essex and Suffolk Water report that customer satisfaction has been outstanding with over 99% of customers saying they were happy with the overall level of service and with the products they received.

October 2015 59 of 65



Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Ref.	Title of the article/document	Author	Water saving and other relevant information gained from the articles
4-13	Demand management bulletin, Series 2, Issue 9, January 2014 Pages 5-6	Environment Agency is an Executive Non- departmental Public Body responsible to the Secretary of State for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs	Essex and Suffolk Water has carried out stage 3 of their Database Integration and Statistical Analysis of H2eco and Ecobeta Studies. In a continued effort to improve their understanding of the effects of their endeavours this project was initiated to further integrate the first 7 phases of study data and look at some new areas of analysis. In autumn 2013 Callidus/Artesia completed the analysis of the latest phases of the H2echo project.
			The main objective of this study was to integrate the results of all 7 of the H2eco project phases carried out to date; and to assess the long term sustainability of water savings from retrofit projects. In addition, the analyses of water savings from ecoBETA's and tap inserts were examined in detail and compared with multi-device water savings.
			Essex and Suffolk Further detailed statistical analysis of individual devices shows that ecoBETA installations consistently return the largest savings per device at 17 litres/device/day. The project also concluded that the most successful ecoBETA installations are those in older detached properties.
			Northumbrian Water – EcoFIT trials Northumbrian Water has offered up to 500 families living in Gresham, Middlesbrough, the chance to trial a new water cycle audit. ecoFIT Helping Gresham go Green offers customers a free integrated service that will:
			 assess their water use and survey the drainage areas in their garden or yard. It will also identify and record any drainage misconnections install a range of water saving products (including an ecoBETA to convert their siphon toilet to a dual flush) and surface water management devices (e.g. water butt and/or planter) help the customer make simple changes to help them save water and reduce the risk of flooding gather feedback from the customer throughout different stages of the project on the value of the services
			The project is a Northumbrian Water led initiative that is being delivered in collaboration with the One Planet Middlesbrough (OPM) - Creating Sustainable Communities Project. OPM aims to improve the quality of life for Middlesbrough residents by promoting sustainable living and providing advice on simple changes that can make a difference.
			Customer uptake of water efficiency retrofit projects is around 20%. The aim of the initiative is to understand if an integrated service for water can increase customer uptake of water efficiency.
			This collaborative approach has led to many benefits including support from Gresham residents to 'community proof' literature and support from OPM Eco champions to help promote the project to local groups and the wider community.

October 2015 60 of 65



Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Ref.	Title of the	Author	Water saving and other relevant information gained from the articles
no.	article/document		
4- 14	Demand management bulletin, Series 2, Issue 13 January 2015 Pages 4	Environment Agency is an Executive Non-departmental Public Body responsible to the Secretary of State for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs	Essex & Suffolk Water sets out all the latest developments in the company's water efficiency programme. Their Every Drop Counts Campaign has a 'whole town approach'. It involves helping customers in Billericay in Essex and Wooler in Northumberland save water by fitting water saving products in their home and business premises. Thames Water has developed TAP (Talk and Products), its interactive water efficiency in-home engagement software – based on the Energy Saving Trust's Water Energy Calculator. Customers will get, amongst other things, a water saving report through this. 'Smarter home' visits have been trialled in Bexley and Greenwich. The visits include fitting single flush toilets with dual flush Ecobeta units.
4- 15	United Utilities Water Efficiency Contract Win	Crowder Consulting — Innovative Solutions Crowder Consulting was founded in 1985 and became a limited company in 1994. The company has continued to grow and develop and now employs around 70 professional staff and associates, split into three main areas:	Initial Utilities have extended their water efficiency initiative to a further 800 homes in the area of West Cumbria and awarded Crowder Consulting the contract to carry out the work. Crowder Consulting will be delivering the project including the acquisition of appointments, carrying out water audits and water saving device installations. This is the third water efficiency initiative that Crowder Consulting has undertaken for United Utilities, with the previous two having been a great success. The previous water efficiency initiative in West Cumbria saw average water savings of 40 litres per household per day, with nearly 900 visits carried out, meaning a total savings of 14 Ml/year. This project is set to be even more successful that the last. Our fully qualified plumbing team are now equipped with more advanced water saving devices, including the EcoBETA. The EcoBETA is a device that can turn a single flush toilet into a dual flush toilet with no alteration to the system required. An EcoBETA can save up to 30 litres of water per day for each household.

October 2015 61 of 65



Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Ref.	Title of the article/document	Author	Water saving and other relevant information gained from the articles
4- 16	Case Study H2ECO 4 June 2014 H2eco is Essex & Suffolk Water's (ESW) leading large scale retrofit project which since its inception has delivered 14,999 water audits to metered and unmetered customers. Starting in 2007, the project is the culmination of developments, feedback and lessons learnt	The Water Cluster: a collaboration between the Global Sustainability Instituteat Anglia Ruskin University and Opportunity Peterborough. We've created this network to identify opportunities for collaboration and improve the coordination of Research, Development and Innovation in water efficiency and urban water management, firstly within the East of England and then nationally and internationally.	H2eco HTTP://www.THEWATERCLUSTER.CO.UK/H2ECO/ H2eco is Essex & Suffolk Water's (ESW) leading large scale retrolit project which since its inception has delivered 14,999 water audits to metered and un-metered customers. Starting in 2007, the project is the culmination of developments, feedback and lessons learnt – the project has been an interesting journey! What is H2eco's key objective and how do you go about delivering it? H2eco's key objective is to leave the property of each participant as water efficient as possible. A plumber is provided, free of charge, to fit and deliver of a wide range of retrofit water saving products. The project aims to effectively engage with each customer, by explaining each product as it is fitted while moving around the home and garden. This approach has delivered proven long term and sustainable behaviour change. How do you look to ensure maximum water savings? Any feedback from plumbers or customers is constantly reviewed to ensure the products continue to maximise water savings without detracting from the customer's water using experience. The water saving products fitted have been tested in ESW trials and previous projects. The opportunity is also taken to trial new water saving products which can be fitted, which in turn delivers sustainable water savings. For example, H2eco uses four different types of tap inserts and three water-saving showerheads to increase the chance of one fitting. Additional information focusing on the bathroom, kitchen and garden is also left with the customer enhance sustained behavioural change. How many customers are participating? 87,062 customers have been invited to participate in the H2eco project covering the entire towns of Chelmsford, Wickford, Basildon and South Benfleet in Essex. [4,999 full retrofit audits have been successfully completed since the first audit in December 2007, representing a 17.2% uptake rate. Undertaking H2eco has resulted in measured water savings totalling 329,978 litres per day, which equates to an average mea

October 2015 62 of 65



Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Ref.	Title of the	Author	Water saving and other relevant information gained from the articles
no.	article/document		
			Any early indications on how effective the product has been? Full statistical analysis of the vast amount of data collected during the 14,999 audits has shown that H2eco is effective in delivering sustained water savings. This has been accomplished by achieving a successful blend of fitting products whilst delivering valuable behaviour change.

Evaluation

Relevant literature listed in Table 1 is edited by Waterwise UK, Environment Agency, Essex & Suffolk Water, Sutton and East Surrey Water, Northumbrian Water, Thames Water – all specialists within the areas of investigation.

The awards and recognitions listed in Table 2 are granted/managed by recognized authorities.

Case studies in Table 3 are written by customers and distributors as well as recognized authorities.

Other relevant articles listed in Table 4 are edited by specialists at the Oxford Institute for Sustainable Development, CEN, Veolia Water Central, Waterwise, Veolia Water Ireland, Mayor of London, the Energy Saving Trust, Water UK Southern Water and Anglian Water - all specialists within the areas of investigation.

Therefore, the studies/documents are altogether regarded as having a high grade of evidence.

October 2015 63 of 65

eco**BETA**®

Title:

Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by:

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

HI

Conclusion

The found studies/documents are altogether regarded as having a high grade of evidence.

Much of the world is faced with a situation of physical water scarcity. For this reason there has been an increase in water efficient products coming onto the market to help save water whilst carrying out daily activities. The typical family uses about 70% of their water in the bathroom, with toilet flushing accounting for 30% of the household water use (2-03).

One of the main reasons for targeting toilets to reduce demand management is that they will always be used daily, and the yield is more accurate than other devices as it is an engineered defined solution and does not have a behavioural component. There is not a time component of this water use - one flush is one flush and this hopefully will not change over time (1-03).

Old style single flush toilets typically use 10-14 litres per flush (2-03). By converting existing single flush toilets to dual flush and thereby minimizing the toilet flush volumes, good value for money savings can be obtained (1-01). Especially in older properties, where the toilet volumes are still likely to be high, toilet devices should be installed as a priority in older properties (1-04,1-09).

Dual-flush toilets are often considered to be more efficient than single-flush systems. They allow a main flush (long flush) of between 4-6 litres, with a smaller flush (short flush) button that uses around 2-4 litres. There is however now growing evidence that many dual-flush mechanisms can fail which can result in leakage. As well as risk of the mechanism jamming and the valves leaking (which go undetected due to the internal overflow) there is also evidence that the user does not know how to operate the dual-flush system properly. Often only the higher flush is used, or sometimes when the smaller flush is used at the wrong time this necessitates a double flush which wastes water. Current devices on the market are not always intuitive and are not usually clearly labelled (1-03,2-03,2-05,3a-01,3a-02,3a-04,3a-08,3a-09,3b-05,3b-06).

Based on the articles/studies in this overview, the *eco***BETA** single button dual flush solution is regarded as state of the art providing statistically significant water-savings (1-01,1-02,1-08,1-09,2-04,3b-02,3b-13) by preventing overflushing of toilets and minimizing the risk of leakage.

In recognition of the water saving potential, design, operation and quality of the *ecoBETA** single button dual flush solutions, all variants of the product have been awarded the Waterwise Recommended Checkmark (2-04).

The Evidence Base for Large-Scale Water Efficiency is an ongoing research project funded by Defra, the Environment Agency and Ofwat and steered by a range of water company representatives, assessing and evaluating all large-scale water efficiency trials and programmes in the UK. This work shows that the *ecoBETA*° siphon is the most effective water efficiency retrofit device in terms of overall water saving (Table 1,2-04). With reference to 4 independent studies, *ecoBETA*°s installed as part of a multi device water efficiency audit were shown to save between 16 and 18 litres/device/day. A single *ecoBETA*° installation may save a little more at 19 to 20 litres/device/day (1-09). For this reason, future programmes to promote water efficiency in the UK will also include *ecoBETA*° retrofittings (3b-13,4-03,4-04,4-05,4-06,4-07,4-08,4-09).

Free installations in businesses in the UK: ecoBETA* is listed on the Water Technology List (WTL) managed by DEFRA (Department for Environment, Food & Rural Affairs) in the UK. The WTL is central to the Enhanced Capital Allowance (ECA) Scheme enabling businesses to claim 100% first year capital allowances on investments in technologies and products that encourage sustainable water use (2-06).

Free project-related installations in households, schools and public buildings in the UK: As part of Water Resource Management Plans, water companies in the UK are allocated funds by DEFRA to spend on projects covering water conservation / reduction of consumption. These projects are based on practical lessons of past water efficiency projects and often include free installations of *ecoBETA** dual flush valves and retrofits (2-07).

Customer satisfaction has been outstanding; however, it must be fitted correctly (1-01,1-04,1-05,4-12).

The *eco***BETA**° dual flush device shows the most consistent statistically significant results (1-01,1-02,1-08,1-09,2-04,3b-13) and provided the best value for money water savings (1-01). The water savings listed in this overview range from 14% to over 50%.

The ecoBETA dual flush solutions hardly require any maintenance compared to the 2-button flushing mechanisms in dual flush toilets (1-03,2-05,3a-01,3a-02,3a-04,3a-06,3a-08,3b-03,3b-06,4-07).

October 2015 64 of 65

eco**BETA**®

Title:

Overview of documentation of the water-saving effect of the ecoBETA® dual flush solutions

Prepared by: HI

Latest revision: 26 October 2015

Raising awareness on the importance of water conservation alongside fitting water efficient devices is required as the way water is used also contributes to further reducing water consumption (1-04,1-08,3b-14,2-03,4-02,4-08).

Installation of the *eco***BETA**° dual flush solutions could perhaps have been more wide-spread if there was more emphasis on fitting eco-technology, such as the *eco***BETA**°, for the labour force, compared to other priorities (1-04).

October 2015 65 of 65